



PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE AGENDA

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE CENTERVILLE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE WILL HOLD A REGULAR MEETING AT 7:30 PM ON OCTOBER 10, 2024 AT CENTERVILLE CITY HALL CONFERENCE ROOM, 250 NORTH MAIN STREET, CENTERVILLE, UTAH.

Centerville City Parks and Recreation Committee meetings are open to the public, unless otherwise closed for reasons allowed by law. Centerville City Parks and Recreation Committee meetings may be conducted via electronic means pursuant to Utah Code § 52-4-207. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations due to a disability may contact the City Recorder at (801) 295-3477, at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting. The Committee reserves the right to modify the sequence of agenda items in order to facilitate special needs or provide greater efficiency.

The full agenda packet and backup materials can be found on the Centerville City website at:

<https://centervilleutah.gov/129/Agendas-Minutes>

A. ROLL CALL

B. BUSINESS ITEMS

Business action or discussion items to be considered.

1. Community Park Playground
2. Parks Master Plan
3. Pickleball Courts Progress

C. MINUTES

Minutes of prior meetings may be reviewed and accepted. Minutes review and approval shall comply with the Centerville City Minutes Approval Policy.

1. Minutes Review and Approval
April 9, 2024 Minutes

D. ADJOURNMENT

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

I hereby certify that this notice and agenda was posted at Centerville City Hall, published on the Utah Public Notice Website, and provided to a newspaper or media correspondent in accordance with the requirements of the Utah Open and Public Meetings Act, including, but not limited to, provisions of Utah Code § 52-4-202.

**Jennifer Robison
Centerville City Recorder**



Proposal for Community Park-Centerville
22087-1-1



Estimate 22087-1-1

Ship To	Date	10/7/2024
City of Centerville	Expires	11/6/2024
Bruce Cox, bcox@centervilleut.com	Salesperson	Vern Garrett
1350 N 400 W		
Centerville, UT 84014		

Site	Project Name
Option 1 - Play Turf Surfacing	Community Park-Centerville

PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
Play Structure	Main Megatower Play Structure with Full Moon Lumen Link and Hyperbolix	1.00	\$144,984.25	\$144,984.25
Freestanding Play	Ten Spin	1.00	\$4,715.00	\$4,715.00
Freestanding Play	Miracle Museum Dynamics Lab	1.00	\$5,619.87	\$5,619.87
Freestanding Play	5" OD Swings, 2-Bay, 2 Belts, 2 Tots			\$279.69
Freestanding Play	Saddle Seat Spinners (1 Straight, 1 Angled)	2.00	\$765.36	\$1,530.71
Freestanding Play	Miracle Museum Momentum Corridor	1.00	\$7,408.70	\$7,408.70



Freestanding Play	Interactive Play Panels, Magical Musical, Very Buried, and Funhouse Inserts	1.00	\$6,926.26	\$6,926.26
Play Structure	Main Little Kids Structure	1.00	\$12,801.24	\$12,801.24
Installation	Installation of Option 1	1.00	\$61,740.00	\$61,740.00
Artificial Turf	6,120 SF Play Turf, 9.5' Fall Height, Installed, Includes compacted stone base prep	1.00	\$109,104.05	\$109,104.05
Benches, Tables and Receptacles	6' Bench with Back, Recycled Plastic Slats (install included with playground)	6.00	\$887.51	\$5,325.06
Fabric Shade	14'X14'X8' Single Post Pyramid Cantilever Shade, RBP	3.00	\$6,476.53	\$19,429.59
Installation	Installation of Shades	3.00	\$4,200.00	\$12,600.00
Subtotal				\$392,464.40
Sales Tax (0.00%)				\$0.00

Site preparation, excavation and/or removal of existing equipment is not included unless specified in the above line items and the Scope of Work below.

NASPO Contract # PA 4281

Sourcewell Contract # 010521-LTS-8



TOTAL		\$392,464.40
Required Deposit (applies to estimates of \$20,000 or more only)		\$98,116.10



Notice to Proceed / Terms and Conditions

By signing this Estimate and paying the deposit (if required) you authorize Garrett Parks and Play to order the product(s) requested and/or begin site work on your project. **Work cannot begin and orders cannot be placed until a signed Estimate and deposit (if required) has been received.**

Please send the signed estimate to orders@garrettplay.com.

Payment may be made in the form of a check sent to **Garrett Parks and Play, PO Box 57426, Murray, UT 84157**, a Quickbooks ACH transfer, or via credit card (will require a 3% processing fee).

Scope of Work

Price reflects a 20%+ Discount and must be purchased before the end of 2024 to retain this pricing.

Products

We represent many different high-quality recreation equipment vendors and strive to offer you the best selection possible. We will do our best to accommodate any reasonable requests, but keep in mind that any changes to this Estimate after it has been approved and the product and/or services have been ordered may result in additional charges and/or Change Orders. Please send any product condition concerns or warranty claims to support@garrettplay.com.

Freight

All quotes include freight FOB Centerville unless otherwise noted. After 11/6/2024 this Estimate expires and so do the associated rates. This is because freight rates provided by carriers change

frequently and are only guaranteed for a limited amount of time.

Permits

Unless otherwise stated in this Estimate, you will be responsible for obtaining and paying for all necessary permits, licenses, and any other instruments required to perform the services included in your Estimate. Garrett Parks and Play will fully cooperate with you in the obtaining of any permits and licenses, as necessary.

Offloading

Garrett Parks and Play offers additional offloading services dependent on geographic location and project size. If you feel you will need this service, please contact us at time of order to see if it is offered in your area. Not all projects will qualify, so please make sure to have at least enough help available to get the equipment off the truck(s).

Installation

If specified in the above Scope of Work, Garrett Parks and Play will install your products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate and all manufacturers' requirements. All materials needed to complete installation are included in this price. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our installation price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with installation due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, reinforced concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and will result in Change Order(s).



Warranty Labor

Any equipment purchased as a result of a warranty claim will be installed free of charge if the age of the original equipment is less than 1 (one) year. If it is more than 1 (one) year old, you agree and acknowledge that you are responsible for any costs associated with the replacement of the damaged equipment and that Garrett Parks and Play will not provide this service unless explicitly stated in your Estimate.

Demolition

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will demolish your old recreational products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our demolition price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with demolition due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and may result in Change Order(s).

Site Work

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will complete all site work in accordance with the plans, specifications and all applicable laws and regulations. Any changes to specifications required to complete the project after receipt of a signed Estimate may result in Change Order(s).

Playground Post-installation Safety Inspection

At your request, the equipment installed as part of this Estimate can be inspected by a third party inspector after work has been finished to ensure it has been completed according to manufacturer's recommendations and current safety standards. The cost for this service varies on the size of the

project and will generate a Change Order or additional invoice if not included in this Estimate.

Standalone Playground Safety Audit

If specified in your Estimate, a third party Certified Playground Safety Inspector (CPSI) will perform a safety audit on playground equipment to identify compliant and non-compliant conditions using probes and gauges to rate playground conditions against current safety standards and guidelines. The audit document will contain hazard priority ratings for all compliant and non-compliant conditions based on predictable results (possibility, probability, and consequence) and provide a basis for these findings. It will also establish repair, removal, and replacement priorities based on the potential for injury to ensure non-compliant conditions are clear and can be corrected in a timely manner.

Invoicing

Garrett Parks and Play uses progress invoicing to ensure our vendors are paid in a timely manner. Please provide an Accounts Payable contact below to make sure these invoices are delivered to the correct person.

AP Contact _____

AP Email _____

Late Charges

Payments received 30 or more days after the terms of your invoice are subject to a late fee of 5% of your outstanding balance.



Acceptance

You acknowledge that by signing this Estimate and submitting your deposit, you are notifying Garrett Parks and Play to proceed with their project and that the Terms and Conditions of this Estimate have been read and understood.

By _____

Name _____

Date _____




















WARNING
DO NOT CLIMB ON THE
OUTSIDE OF THIS SLIDE

Community Park - Option 1 Centerville, UT

FOR KIDS AGES
5-12
YEARS



GARRETT & COMPANY, INC.

850 Quaking Aspen Dr
Murray, UT

PHONE NO: (801) 265-8443
FAX NO: (801) 263-1254

R0032_45565612169

 **COMPLIES TO ASTM/CPSC**

GROUND SPACE: 96'-0" x 87'-6"

PROTECTIVE AREA: 85'-0" x 72'-0"

DRAWN BY: Angela LeBaron

DATE: 10/6/2024

To promote safe and proper equipment use by children, Miracle recommends the installation of either a Miracle safety sign or other appropriate safety signage near each playsystem's main entry point(s) to inform parents and supervisors of the age appropriateness of the playsystem and general rules for safe play.

THE PLAY COMPONENTS IDENTIFIED IN THIS PLAN ARE IPEMA CERTIFIED. THE USE AND LAYOUT OF THESE COMPONENTS CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F1487.

AN ENERGY ABSORBING PROTECTIVE SURFACE IS REQUIRED UNDER & AROUND ALL PLAY SYSTEMS

ProGreen's Optimum Play DF is durable, low maintenance and looks great in all playground and recreational applications. Optimum Play DF is lead-free and meets all fall height safety requirements of the ASTM, making it one of the safest surfacing materials for kids. In addition, it increases outdoor play time by eliminating puddles and mud.

OPTIMUM PLAY DF



To verify product certification, visit www.ipema.org



FIBER	 DUAL FIBER W/S SHAPE	 THATCH	FACE WEIGHT	PILE HEIGHT	WARRANTY	INFILL OPTIONS	 COATED SILICA SAND
			65 OUNCES	1.25 INCHES	15 YEARS		


OPTIMUM PLAY DF

APPLICATIONS

- Indoor/ Outdoor
Playgrounds
- Common Space Areas
- High Durability Fiber
- Increased Face Weight
For High Traffic
- 5'-10' Fall Height



To verify product certification,
visit www.ipema.org



SPECIFICATIONS

Primary Yarn Face:	Monofilament – Olive Green / Parallel Slit – Field Green
Thatch Yarn:	4800 texturized Polyethylene thatch, Field Green/Beige
Pile Height:	1.25"
Face Weight:	65 oz. per sq yd
Primary Backing:	Proprietary QuadriBIND™ backing
Secondary Backing:	ProFlow™ patent pending backing
Total Product Weight:	94 oz. per sq yd
Perforations:	No perforations; they are not needed with ProFlow™ backing
Warranty:	15 year (See full warranty for terms & conditions)

Warranty/Disclaimer: Manufacturer carries a 15 year warranty against loss of fiber and degradation due to UV. ProGreen will be free of manufacturing defects upon completion and claims against the manufacturer must pass through the certified installer. Manufacturer reserves the right to deny claim based on investigation and replacement will be through the certified installer alone. Refer to the warranty you received upon completion for full terms. Warranty card must be present and on file to honor such claims.

*subject to normal manufacturing variance (+/- 2 oz.)

MANUFACTURING FACILITY




PROFLOW



www.progreen.com

GENERAL INFORMATION



Synthetic turf system with ProPlay® for Playgrounds fall protection pad




Puzzle shaped ProPlay® for Playgrounds fall protection pad

Product description

ProPlay® for Playgrounds is designed to provide fall protection for playing children and other playground users against head injuries.

ProPlay® for Playgrounds is made of thermal bonded (closed-cell) crosslinked polyethylene foam (XLPE). This foam originates from production remnants and overruns and contains no contaminants.

ProPlay® for Playgrounds is a vital part of the synthetic turf system for playgrounds. The product performs in all weather conditions and is renowned for its excellent water permeability.



Sheets per pallet	ft² per pallet
31	675.76

Dimensions and pallet information for ProPlay® for Playgrounds fall protection pad

ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH AND SAFETY CREDENTIALS

Cross-linked polyolefins are chemically stable by nature. Any compounds contained in the material will not be released during the lifetime of the product. ProPlay® can be safely used for any synthetic turf system. The products do not leach chemicals that pose a risk for the environment and/or human health.

ProPlay® for Playgrounds complies with:

- The state of California's Code of Regulations (CCR):
 - Title 22 'Social Security' – Division 4.5 'Environmental Health Standards for the Management of Hazardous Waste'.
 - Title 27 'Environmental Protection' - Division 4 'Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment' - Chapter 1 'Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986' (Proposition 65).
- Synthetic Turf Council:
 - STC Performance and Durability Guidelines for Shock Pads.
 - STC Toxicology requirements.
- The European Community:
 - Regulation No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on Classification, Labelling and Packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP).
 - Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH).

TECHNICAL DATA

Physical characteristics	Tolerance	ProPlay® Value	Unit	Standard
Thickness at 0.3 psi load	+/- 0.079	1.46	in	EN-ISO 9863-1*
Mass per unit area	+/- 0.102	0.68	lb/ft²	EN-ISO 9864*

* As modified by Schmitz Foam Products

Performance characteristics	ProPlay® Value	Unit	Standard
Force reduction	75	%	AAA**
Vertical deformation	13	mm	AAA**
Critical fall height (Head Injury Criterion ~ 1000)	4.3	ft	ASTM F 1292 (EN ISO 1177)***
Water flow rate under 2 in (51 mm) hydraulic head	14.7	gpm/ft²	ASTM D 4491 (EN ISO 11058)
(resulting) Water permeability by permittivity	10.9	gpm/ft²	ASTM D 4491 (EN ISO 11058)
Thermal conductivity [λ_{10}]	0.03	BTU/h.ft.°F	ASTM C 177 (EN 12667)
(resulting) Thermal resistance [R-value]	4.2	h.ft².°F/BTU	ASTM C 177 (EN 12667)

** Advanced Artificial Athlete

*** Shock pad only. For values for typical synthetic turf systems, please contact us.

INSTALLATION DISCLAIMER

- Installation of ProPlay® for Playgrounds must be done under the code of good workmanship. Schmitz Foam Products LLC does not accept any liability for the design, or construction of any facilities, or actions of any parties employed, as a result of, or in connection with, any information provided in this document.
- It is recommended that the subbase is designed and approved by an architect or civil engineer, based on the geotechnical and meteorological conditions of the site and any (local) restrictions to the drainage discharge.
- Although ProPlay® for Playgrounds can be installed on any kind of subbase, as long as the construction itself is strong enough to hold installation and maintenance equipment, it is advised that the subbase has a minimum undrained shear strength of at least 1044 lbf/ft² (BS 1377, part 7) or a minimum California Bearing Ratio of 5% (BS 1377, part 4) or equivalent.
- The planarity of the base should be within 3/8 in under a 10 ft straight edge.
- It shall be noted that ProPlay® for Playgrounds - like any other foam shock pad - will expand/contract under the influence of changes of temperature; to prevent unnecessary shrinkage after completion of the installation, the ProPlay® for Playgrounds recommended installation method must be used in its entirety!
- The textile of the ProPlay® for Playgrounds should not be exposed to UV-radiation (i.e. daylight) for more than 1 month.
- It is advised to install the synthetic turf within 1 week after installation of the ProPlay® for Playgrounds.
- The synthetic turf shall not be glued (or in any other way be attached) to the ProPlay® for Playgrounds, since the ProPlay® for Playgrounds needs to be able to expand/contract without any hampering.
- It is strongly recommended to stabilize the synthetic turf with at least ~3.1 lbs/ft² of sand, or (preferably) to use a turf with a fiberglass reinforced backing.
- In any case, it is advised to fix the turf to the perimeter of the playground area (according to the guidelines of the turf supplier).
- During installation of the ProPlay® for Playgrounds or after installation (when installing and filling the synthetic turf) it is allowed to drive over the ProPlay® for Playgrounds with installation equipment/machines. The load (per tire) of the installation equipment shall be less than 40 psi for short time frames (e.g. driving over the surface). Vehicles that are temporarily parked on the surface should have a load of less than 20 psi per tire.

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

- This ProPlay® product data sheet provides general product properties and is not related to specific sportfield and playground installation regulations. Relevant ProPlay® shock pad installation instructions can be found in the ProPlay® installation recommendation guide. Please note there are separate installation manual for ProPlay®-Sport and ProPlay® for Playgrounds products.
- The given information is based on (independent) measurements and (where possible) based on average values measured over a long and representative period. Additional information can be made available upon request.
- Great attention to the accuracy of this document was taken during its compilation. This publication supersedes and replaces all previous datasheets. Schmitz Foam Products LLC cannot, however, guarantee the total accuracy of the information included. If you have any doubts or further questions please do not hesitate to contact us by phone 517-781-6620.

Schmitz Foam Products LLC is the global supplier of ProPlay®, the perfect shock and drainage pad for high-quality synthetic turf systems in sports and playgrounds.



Schmitz Foam Products LLC
188, Treat Ave
Coldwater MI 49036
T: 517-781-6620 • sales@schmitzfoam.com



www.schmitzfoam.com/en-us



Proposal for Community Park-Centerville
22087-3-1



Estimate 22087-3-1

Ship To

City of Centerville

Bruce Cox, bcox@centervilleut.com

1350 N 400 W
Centerville, UT 84014

Date

10/7/2024

Expires

11/6/2024

Salesperson

Vern Garrett

Site

Option 3 - Wood Fiber Surfacing

Project Name

Community Park-Centerville

PRODUCT**DESCRIPTION****QTY****RATE****AMOUNT**

Play Structure

DC-241001:
Custom Citadel
with Plastic Tube
Slide

1.00

\$213,417.60

\$213,417.60

Freestanding Play

DC-200405: Biggo
Ovni/Spia A3
Combo

1.00

\$31,245.00

\$31,245.00

Freestanding Play

NP-SF002-LMD:
Stepping Stump
Medium

2.00

\$1,248.00

\$2,496.00

Freestanding Play

NP-SF002-LSM:
Stepping Stump
Small

2.00

\$884.00

\$1,768.00

Installation

Installation of
Option 3

1.00

\$55,545.00

\$55,545.00

Wood Fiber
Surfacing

300 CY Engineered
Wood Fiber

300.00

\$30.99

\$9,297.75



Installation	Installation of Engineered Wood Fiber	300.00	\$16.50	\$4,950.00
Benches, Tables and Receptacles	6' Bench with Back, Recycled Plastic Slats (install included with playground)	6.00	\$920.97	\$5,525.83
Fabric Shade	14'X14'X8' Single Post Pyramid Cantilever Shade, RBP	3.00	\$6,476.53	\$19,429.59
Installation	Installation of Shades	3.00	\$4,200.00	\$12,600.00
Subtotal				\$356,274.77
Sales Tax (0.00%)				\$0.00

Site preparation, excavation and/or removal of existing equipment is not included unless specified in the above line items and the Scope of Work below.

NASPO Contract # PA 4281

Sourcewell Contract # 010521-LTS-8

TOTAL	\$356,274.77
--------------	---------------------

Required Deposit (applies to estimates of \$20,000 or more only)	\$89,068.69
---	--------------------



Notice to Proceed / Terms and Conditions

By signing this Estimate and paying the deposit (if required) you authorize Garrett Parks and Play to order the product(s) requested and/or begin site work on your project. **Work cannot begin and orders cannot be placed until a signed Estimate and deposit (if required) has been received.**

Please send the signed estimate to orders@garrettplay.com.

Payment may be made in the form of a check sent to **Garrett Parks and Play, PO Box 57426, Murray, UT 84157**, a Quickbooks ACH transfer, or via credit card (will require a 3% processing fee).

Scope of Work

Products

We represent many different high-quality recreation equipment vendors and strive to offer you the best selection possible. We will do our best to accommodate any reasonable requests, but keep in mind that any changes to this Estimate after it has been approved and the product and/or services have been ordered may result in additional charges and/or Change Orders. Please send any product condition concerns or warranty claims to support@garrettplay.com.

Freight

All quotes include freight FOB Centerville unless otherwise noted. After 11/6/2024 this Estimate expires and so do the associated rates. This is because freight rates provided by carriers change frequently and are only guaranteed for a limited amount of time.

Permits

Unless otherwise stated in this Estimate, you will be responsible for obtaining and paying for all necessary permits, licenses, and any other instruments required to perform the services included in your Estimate. Garrett Parks and Play will fully cooperate with you in the obtaining of any permits and licenses, as necessary.

Offloading

Garrett Parks and Play offers additional offloading services dependent on geographic location and project size. If you feel you will need this service, please contact us at time of order to see if it is offered in your area. Not all projects will qualify, so please make sure to have at least enough help available to get the equipment off the truck(s).

Installation

If specified in the above Scope of Work, Garrett Parks and Play will install your products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate and all manufacturers' requirements. All materials needed to complete installation are included in this price. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our installation price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with installation due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, reinforced concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and will result in Change Order(s).

Warranty Labor

Any equipment purchased as a result of a warranty claim will be installed free of charge if the age of



the original equipment is less than 1 (one) year. If it is more than 1 (one) year old, you agree and acknowledge that you are responsible for any costs associated with the replacement of the damaged equipment and that Garrett Parks and Play will not provide this service unless explicitly stated in your Estimate.

Demolition

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will demolish your old recreational products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our demolition price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with demolition due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and may result in Change Order(s).

Site Work

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will complete all site work in accordance with the plans, specifications and all applicable laws and regulations. Any changes to specifications required to complete the project after receipt of a signed Estimate may result in Change Order(s).

Playground Post-installation Safety Inspection

At your request, the equipment installed as part of this Estimate can be inspected by a third party inspector after work has been finished to ensure it has been completed according to manufacturer's recommendations and current safety standards. The cost for this service varies on the size of the project and will generate a Change Order or additional invoice if not included in this Estimate.

Standalone Playground Safety Audit

If specified in your Estimate, a third party Certified Playground Safety Inspector (CPSI) will perform a safety audit on playground equipment to identify compliant and non-compliant conditions using probes and gauges to rate playground conditions against current safety standards and guidelines. The audit document will contain hazard priority ratings for all compliant and non-compliant conditions based on predictable results (possibility, probability, and consequence) and provide a basis for these findings. It will also establish repair, removal, and replacement priorities based on the potential for injury to ensure non-compliant conditions are clear and can be corrected in a timely manner.

Invoicing

Garrett Parks and Play uses progress invoicing to ensure our vendors are paid in a timely manner. Please provide an Accounts Payable contact below to make sure these invoices are delivered to the correct person.

AP Contact _____

AP Email _____

Late Charges

Payments received 30 or more days after the terms of your invoice are subject to a late fee of 5% of your outstanding balance.



Acceptance

You acknowledge that by signing this Estimate and submitting your deposit, you are notifying Garrett Parks and Play to proceed with their project and that the Terms and Conditions of this Estimate have been read and understood.

By _____

Name _____

Date _____





















PLAYSOFT™ Playground Surface Material: Engineered Wood Fiber

PLAYSOFT™ is a 100% virgin wood fiber manufactured to meet the newest standards of playground materials. It is certified through the International Play Equipment Manufacturers Association (IPEMA) to assure that it consistently meets ASTM specifications for playground surfacing:

- F1292 Impact Attenuation
- F2075 Tramp Metal Testing
- F1951 Wheel Chair Access

PLAYSOFT™ carries a 10 year limited warranty upon proper installation and maintenance.

ADVANTAGES

- Soft (Shock Absorbent)
- Certified
- All Natural
- Aesthetically Pleasing
- Low Cost
- Simple to Maintain

APPLICATION

Information based on CPSC critical Height Testing. The National Program for Playground Safety recommends that in general, 12" of uncompressed loose-fill material be used for equipment up to 8' in height.

SHIPPING

We ship throughout the Midwest and Western United States.





Proposal for Community Park-Centerville
22087-2-2



Estimate 22087-2-2

Ship To	Date	10/7/2024
City of Centerville	Expires	11/6/2024
Bruce Cox, bcox@centervilleut.com	Salesperson	Vern Garrett
1350 N 400 W		
Centerville, UT 84014		

Site	Project Name
Option 2 - PIP Rubber Surfacing	Community Park-Centerville

PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
Play Structure	Triple Megatower Structure, Plus Saddle Seat Spinners	1.00	\$97,405.78	\$97,405.78
Freestanding Play	Glide Along Standard	1.00	\$10,948.60	\$10,948.60
Freestanding Play	Cyclocone Plus Climber Spinner	1.00	\$19,201.18	\$19,201.18
Freestanding Play	5" OD Arch Swings, 3 Bay, 2 Belts, 1 Tot, 1 Inclusive, 1 Multi-User Swing	1.00	\$8,586.08	\$8,586.08
Freestanding Play	Cave Rock	1.00	\$9,024.96	\$9,024.96
Freestanding Play	Raccoon Log	1.00	\$8,855.00	\$8,855.00
Freestanding Play	Small Hop Rock	3.00	\$554.24	\$1,662.72
Freestanding Play	Medium Hop Rock	4.00	\$849.88	\$3,399.52



Freestanding Play	Large Hop Rock	3.00	\$1,132.66	\$3,397.97
Freestanding Play	Stump Stepper 9"	1.00	\$909.87	\$909.87
Freestanding Play	Stump Stepper 19"	1.00	\$1,266.54	\$1,266.54
Freestanding Play	Stump Stepper 29"	1.00	\$1,528.59	\$1,528.59
Installation	Installation of Option 2	1.00	\$49,350.00	\$49,350.00
Poured-in-Place Surfacing	6,120 SF Poured in Place Rubber, 8' Fall Height, 3.5" Depth, 50% Standard Color, 50% Black	6,120.00	\$21.00	\$128,504.00
Benches, Tables and Receptacles	6' Bench with Back, Recycled Plastic Slats (install included with playground)	6.00	\$887.51	\$5,325.06
Subtotal				\$349,365.87
Sales Tax (0.00%)				\$0.00

Site preparation, excavation and/or removal of existing equipment is not included unless specified in the above line items and the Scope of Work below.

NASPO Contract # PA 4281

Sourcewell Contract # 010521-LTS-8

TOTAL	\$349,365.87
--------------	---------------------

Required Deposit (applies to estimates of \$20,000 or more only)	\$87,341.47
---	--------------------



Notice to Proceed / Terms and Conditions

By signing this Estimate and paying the deposit (if required) you authorize Garrett Parks and Play to order the product(s) requested and/or begin site work on your project. **Work cannot begin and orders cannot be placed until a signed Estimate and deposit (if required) has been received.**

Please send the signed estimate to orders@garrettplay.com.

Payment may be made in the form of a check sent to **Garrett Parks and Play, PO Box 57426, Murray, UT 84157**, a Quickbooks ACH transfer, or via credit card (will require a 3% processing fee).

Scope of Work

Price reflects a 20% Discount and must be purchased before the end of 2024 to retain this pricing. Poured in place rubber does NOT include compacted stone base prep. This Option does NOT include shades.

Products

We represent many different high-quality recreation equipment vendors and strive to offer you the best selection possible. We will do our best to accommodate any reasonable requests, but keep in mind that any changes to this Estimate after it has been approved and the product and/or services have been ordered may result in additional charges and/or Change Orders. Please send any product condition concerns or warranty claims to support@garrettplay.com.

Freight

All quotes include freight FOB Centerville unless otherwise noted. After 11/6/2024 this Estimate expires and so do the associated rates. This is

because freight rates provided by carriers change frequently and are only guaranteed for a limited amount of time.

Permits

Unless otherwise stated in this Estimate, you will be responsible for obtaining and paying for all necessary permits, licenses, and any other instruments required to perform the services included in your Estimate. Garrett Parks and Play will fully cooperate with you in the obtaining of any permits and licenses, as necessary.

Offloading

Garrett Parks and Play offers additional offloading services dependent on geographic location and project size. If you feel you will need this service, please contact us at time of order to see if it is offered in your area. Not all projects will qualify, so please make sure to have at least enough help available to get the equipment off the truck(s).

Installation

If specified in the above Scope of Work, Garrett Parks and Play will install your products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate and all manufacturers' requirements. All materials needed to complete installation are included in this price. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our installation price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with installation due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, reinforced concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and will result in Change Order(s).



Warranty Labor

Any equipment purchased as a result of a warranty claim will be installed free of charge if the age of the original equipment is less than 1 (one) year. If it is more than 1 (one) year old, you agree and acknowledge that you are responsible for any costs associated with the replacement of the damaged equipment and that Garrett Parks and Play will not provide this service unless explicitly stated in your Estimate.

Demolition

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will demolish your old recreational products according to project specifications contained in this Estimate. Any changes to your specifications after your Estimate has been signed may result in Change Order(s). Our demolition price is based on typical site conditions. By signing this Estimate you agree and acknowledge that if issues arise with demolition due to atypical site conditions, including but not limited to buried utility lines, caliche, high water tables, concrete, etc., the cost to perform this work will increase and may result in Change Order(s).

Site Work

If specified in your Estimate, Garrett Parks and Play will complete all site work in accordance with the plans, specifications and all applicable laws and regulations. Any changes to specifications required to complete the project after receipt of a signed Estimate may result in Change Order(s).

Playground Post-installation Safety Inspection

At your request, the equipment installed as part of this Estimate can be inspected by a third party inspector after work has been finished to ensure it has been completed according to manufacturer's recommendations and current safety standards.

The cost for this service varies on the size of the project and will generate a Change Order or additional invoice if not included in this Estimate.

Standalone Playground Safety Audit

If specified in your Estimate, a third party Certified Playground Safety Inspector (CPSI) will perform a safety audit on playground equipment to identify compliant and non-compliant conditions using probes and gauges to rate playground conditions against current safety standards and guidelines. The audit document will contain hazard priority ratings for all compliant and non-compliant conditions based on predictable results (possibility, probability, and consequence) and provide a basis for these findings. It will also establish repair, removal, and replacement priorities based on the potential for injury to ensure non-compliant conditions are clear and can be corrected in a timely manner.

Invoicing

Garrett Parks and Play uses progress invoicing to ensure our vendors are paid in a timely manner. Please provide an Accounts Payable contact below to make sure these invoices are delivered to the correct person.

AP Contact

AP Email

Late Charges

Payments received 30 or more days after the terms of your invoice are subject to a late fee of 5% of your outstanding balance.



Acceptance

You acknowledge that by signing this Estimate and submitting your deposit, you are notifying Garrett Parks and Play to proceed with their project and that the Terms and Conditions of this Estimate have been read and understood.

By _____

Name _____

Date _____













WARNING
DO NOT CLIMB ON THE
OUTSIDE OF THIS SLIDE








WARNING
DO NOT CLIMB ON THE
OUTSIDE OF THIS SLIDE



Community Park - Option 2 Centerville, UT

BENCH W/BACK 6' RECYCLED ING
MRSG303G

FOR KIDS AGES
5-12
YEARS



BENCH W/BACK 6' RECYCLED ING
MRSG303G

BENCH W/BACK 6' RECYCLED ING
MRSG303G



GARRETT & COMPANY, INC.

850 Quaking Aspen Dr
Murray, UT

PHONE NO: (801) 265-8443
FAX NO: (801) 263-1254

GROUND SPACE: 72'-0" x 60'-0"

PROTECTIVE AREA: 84'-0" x 72'-0"

DRAWN BY: Angela LeBaron

DATE: 10/1/2024

R0032_45565646670



COMPLIES TO ASTM/CPSC

To promote safe and proper equipment use by children, Miracle recommends the installation of either a Miracle safety sign or other appropriate safety signage near each playsystem's main entry point(s) to inform parents and supervisors of the age appropriateness of the playsystem and general rules for safe play.

THE PLAY COMPONENTS IDENTIFIED IN THIS PLAN ARE IPEMA CERTIFIED. THE USE AND LAYOUT OF THESE COMPONENTS CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F1487.

AN ENERGY ABSORBING PROTECTIVE SURFACE IS REQUIRED UNDER & AROUND ALL PLAY SYSTEMS




No Fault Safety Surface

Poured-in-Place Rubber Surfacing




COLOR CHART

50% Black with 50% Standard Color Mixes:




50% Terra Cotta Red / 50% Black



50% Green / 50% Black



50% Blue / 50% Black



50% Beige / 50% Black

For illustration purposes only. Final color selection should be made from actual rubber samples. No Fault is not responsible for variations of actual product color when compared to the color(s) illustrated on this color chart. The color as shown on the color chart will not be an exact match to the product in the field.



Centerville City Parks Master Plan

August 2019



Figure 1 - Smoot Park Playground



Figure 2 - Centerville Community Park, Drones-Eye View Looking East



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	Pg	iv
Acknowledgments	Pg	vi
Abstract	Pg	vii
Excecutive Summary	Pg	1
Background Research		
Introduction	Pg	4
Centerville’s Identity	Pg	5
History	Pg	7
Park Use	Pg	8
Trends	Pg	10
Precedents	Pg	12
Methodology	Pg	13
Purpose and Scope	Pg	14
Inventory and Analysis		
Population and Characteristics	Pg	15
Population Growth	Pg	15
Household Characteristics	Pg	15
Centerville Parks	Pg	16
Existing Parks	Pg	16
Parks Classification	Pg	16
Current and Future Projects	Pg	17
How Centerville Compares	Pg	18
Inventory and Analysis	Pg	19
Centerville Community Park Analysis	Pg	20
Island View Park Analysis	Pg	22
Smoot Park Analysis	Pg	24
Freedom Hills Park Analysis	Pg	26
Porter-Walton Park Analysis	Pg	28
William R. Smith Park Analysis	Pg	30
Commons Pocket Park Analysis	Pg	32
Existing Amenities	Pg	34
Gap Analysis	Pg	37
Proximity	Pg	37
Parks	Pg	38
Schools	Pg	40
Gaps	Pg	42
Survey Results		
Outline	Pg	45
Age Demographics	Pg	46

Table of Contents

Income, Education and Housing	Pg	47
Demographic Areas	Pg	48
Park Use in Centerville	Pg	48
Parks Outside of Centerville	Pg	49
Centerville Parks	Pg	50
Travel	Pg	51
Activities	Pg	52
Activity Needs	Pg	54
Park Land	Pg	55
Additional Facilities	Pg	55
Park Specific Improvements	Pg	56
RAP Tax	Pg	57
Respondent Comments	Pg	58
Recommendations		
Action Plan	Pg	61
Long Term	Pg	61
Short Term	Pg	61
Immediate	Pg	62
Updates	Pg	62
Future Growth	Pg	63
Growth Recommendations	Pg	65
Parrish Creek Opportunity	Pg	68
Park Recommendations	Pg	70
Centerville Community Park Recommendations	Pg	70
Island View Park Recommendations	Pg	72
Smoot Park Recommendations	Pg	74
Freedom Hills Park Recommendations	Pg	76
Porter-Walton Park Recommendations	Pg	78
William R. Smith Park Recommendations		80
Commons Pocket Park Recommendations	Pg	82
Conclusion	Pg	83
Appendix		
Tables	Pg	84
Figures	Pg	85
Centerville Survey Online	Pg	89
Centerville Survey Mailer	Pg	94
2007 Centerville Survey	Pg	96
References	Pg	107

Acknowledgments

Centerville City

Mayor

Clark Wilkinson

City Council

William “Bill” Ince

Stephanie Ivie

George McEwan

Robyn Mecham

Tami Fillmore

Planning Commission

Cheylynn Hayman, Chair

Kevin Daly, Vice

Becki Wright

Thomas Hunt

Logan Johnson

Kai Hintze

City Staff

Bruce Cox, Parks Director

Steve Thacker, City Manager

Jolene Jackson, Treasurer

Randy Randall, Public Works Director

Lisa Summers, Recreation Coordinator

Jake Smith, Management Services Director

Mackenzie Wood, City Recorder

Lisa Romney, City Attorney

Paul Child, Police Chief

Tamara Niss, Court Supervisor

Lisa Lynn Sommer, Museum Director

Cory Snyder, Community Development Director

Cassie Younger

Parks and Recreation Committee

Lynn Keddington, Chair

Melissa Larsen

Kelly Hintze

Tiffany Rees

Julianne Zollinger

Jonathan Ruedas

Dailee Gardner

Consultants - Utah State University

Graduate Student

Paul Stead

Committee

Ole Sleipness, Major Professor

David Evans, Committee Member

Zac Covington, Committee Member

Sean Michael, Department Head

Katie Stringham, GCP

Abstract

Purpose of the Parks Master Plan

This Plan B Thesis is a comprehensive update to Centerville City's Parks Master Plan. The document seeks to provide stability and continuity to Centerville's open space infrastructure. Since the last update in 1993, the Parks Master Plan has been without regular updates to reflect the community's needs and values. As a result, the Plan has largely been ignored and Centerville has lacked a unified vision regarding parks planning. The objective of this thesis project is to help promote a unique recreational identity that assists the community in positively differentiating itself from other communities on the Wasatch Front.

The Inventory and Analysis sections outline existing site conditions for all Centerville Parks, document areas of concern and measure Centerville against National Park Standards. A large portion of analysis is the development and administration of a community survey. This survey ascertained the needs and wants of the residents to inform the Master Plan update. The recommendations that resulted from the community feedback correlated with input from the Parks Director, the Parks Committee and the City Council, resulting in a plan that provides Centerville City with direction for future recreation, allows them to move forward with a unified vision, helps form connections between the parks and trails throughout the city and embraces adjoining land and communities. This Parks Master Plan emphasizes Centerville's unique identity, healthy lifestyles, and helps them stand out among communities on the Wasatch Front.

Executive Summary

Purpose of the Parks Master Plan

This document is a planning resource that is intended to guide the development, operation and maintenance of Centerville City's park and open space. It looks at how the city's residents are served and what needs to be implemented to balance the system to better serve all residents. It is intended to be a living document that is used regularly by City Staff, Commissioners and Council as a tool for planning and decision making.

Background Research

Research conducted includes history of parks, master plan precedents and park trends. A lot of useful information was found throughout this process. For example, waterplay is the fastest growing park amenity nationwide. This trend corresponds with responses from the residential survey as one of the higher priority items for Centerville residents. The scope of the project is also outlined within this section.

Inventory and Analysis

Inventory and analysis are conducted on multiple scales, including analysis of population statistics, demographics and individual park surveys where issues, maintenance and amenities are looked at and weighed. The park system as a whole was looked at in Centerville, taking adjacent communities into consideration as how residents are currently being served.

Key Assets of Centerville include unique existing parks, as well as the proximity to The Great Salt Lake and The Wasatch Mountains. There are many trailheads leading up to The Bonneville Shoreline Trail, Deuel Creek Trail, Legacy Parkway Trail, Rockwood Trail, Ford Canyon Trail and many other trails throughout the foothills and into adjacent communities.

Needs Assessment

A survey was issued to Centerville residents to understand the needs and wants of residents directly from them. The survey had an excellent response rate, and a well-rounded demographic pool of responders. The survey provides valuable information that, along with the analysis, helped shape many of the recommendations. Centerville City Council was immediately able to use some of the information gathered from the survey to move forward with a decision regarding the RAP Tax, and whether or not to pursue bonding.

Executive Summary

Recommendations

Recommendations are generated from survey responses, and from the inventory and analysis portion. Centerville has some great opportunities. Centerville acquired a parcel of land along a stream that is planned for park development but has lacked funding or prerogative. Results from the survey show that linear park land along streams or washes are important to residents. There is also vacant land zoned as low agricultural on the west side of town, against The Great Salt Lake. This needs to be examined for possible park space and opportunities as growth pressure increases. More trails and open space are a high priority and could be looked at for future implementation in this area. This section also includes an action plan, with recommended items Centerville should consider looking at immediately, over a short-term period and long-term suggestions.

Limitations

A Trails Master Plan is being developed by Centerville in collaboration with Bountiful and Farmington. Because of this, upcoming plan trails were not a focus in this Parks Master Plan. This plan, along with proximity to each park and existing and future open space, must be kept in mind with the development of the Trails Master Plan, and connections are encouraged.

Updates should also be planned regularly to keep this plan up-to-date with the current need of residents and recreation trends, so that this plan continues to be a useful tool in the hands of the planners and City of Centerville.

Conclusion

Through the development of this Parks Master Plan, the City Parks Department can come together and join their vision to help Centerville's parks flourish and grow. This can encourage Centerville parks to continue to be resources all residents have access to, and that they can use and enjoy daily. As Centerville reaches together toward their goals the parks system can become a hallmark in the identity of The City of Centerville

Background Research

Developing an Identity in Recreation: Opportunities in Centerville's Parks Master Plan




Figure 3 - Bookshelf, Common Studio at Utah State University

Background Research

Introduction

A parks master plan is intended to outline the current assets held by the city and provide direction for new park and recreation opportunities.

Parks bring nature into the urban environment. They are essential in cities, to give natural spaces for residents to get outside and breath. One may think that with all the open space that surrounds communities in Utah, the mountains, wetlands and other open spaces not too far away, that city parks may be unnecessary. The collective amount of open space doesn't remove the need for immediate access of a park close to people's homes.



Figure 4 - Overlooking Centerville from Freedom Hills Park

There are of course, many studies showing the value of open space, parks, gardens, and the connection they have to physical and mental health (Bertram & Rehdanz, 2015; de Vries, Verheij, Groenewegen, & Spreeuwenberg, 2003). In this way, parks promote health of residents. But they do more than that. They provide an oasis to recharge—aesthetic points within the city of beauty and greenspace. Often, parks help define the identity of a city. The types of parks outline the needs and priorities of residents. For example, Centerville Community Park communicates the passion residents have for sports. On a summer weekend the entire park is cordoned off with football teams filling every available space of open field and is surrounded by spectators gathered around to watch the games.

Background Research

Centerville's Identity

Identity of a city can come from many places, but open space certainly shapes a large part of the culture. In Utah, the Wasatch Front at least, the mountains inform that cultural identity. Aesthetically they loom over us. They act as a major wayfinding device, helping us identify direction and navigate among destinations. As a social asset, mountains contribute to everyday quality of life, from hiking to skiing to rock climbing, all seasons offering new and varied opportunities to explore and find ourselves more in nature. The variety of experience is expansive. Deserts of sand and sagebrush rangeland quickly transitions into dense forests containing waterfalls and streams as one moves to higher elevations.



Figure 5 - The Wasatch Front, Logan Canyon

Some cities are firmly tied to adjacent open spaces. Perhaps the city's existence itself relies on it. Rockville, or Springdale near Zion National Park, for example. It is unlikely these cities would survive if not for Zion National Park, if they even would have existed at all. Moab holds a similar story with Arches and Canyonlands at its doorstep. St Anthony in Idaho relies heavily on the nearby sand dunes for its tourism.

Centerville is along the Wasatch front, and has a striking mountain range to the east. There are 72 communities in the 4 counties surrounding Centerville along the Wasatch Front. Over 75% of Utah's population lives in these 4 counties (US Census Report, n.d.). Considering this, it's understandably hard to see where one city ends and another begins without a map that shows the municipality borders. Looking out across the sea of houses that ripple out across the valley floor, the importance of developing an identity becomes apparent.




Figure 6 - Overlooking the Salt Lake Valley

Background Research



Figure 7 - Shoshone Falls, Idaho

Many cities have taken advantage of natural assets to inform an identity. St Anthony is built along Henry's Fork and has beautiful waterfalls at the entrance to town and a riverfront trail, which contribute to its quaint small town character. Boise Idaho has the greenway running through town along the Snake River. Boise began acquiring land and working on building the greenway in the 60's, and it stands today as a testament of the foresight of the city. Twin Falls, Idaho is named for the Shoshone Falls within its bounds, and they are a sight worth stopping for. In Utah, there are many towns that have themes. The city of Bluff is known for its rocky outcroppings, cliffs and bluffs. Moab for its slick rock bike and jeep trails. Logan for Utah State University and its historic downtown.

As a smaller city, Centerville doesn't have one well-known identity distinct from those adjacent to its boundaries. Residents love Centerville for its small-town feel, intimate community, recreation amenities and proximity to Salt Lake City. Like other cities on the

Wasatch Front, the mountains are a huge asset. The Bonneville Shoreline Trail runs along the foothills and connects the communities to each other, as well as the mountains. Centerville is also right next to the Great Salt Lake, adjacent to Farmington bay, a wetland preserve that provides space for migratory birds and other wildlife. The parks currently within Centerville are very unique, some have a stream running through them, like Smoot and Freedom Hills. Island View is an amazing, terraced park that is different than any other park along the Wasatch Front. The elevation changes and streams are great assets that must not be ignored.

There are many reasons people are drawn to Centerville. Many enjoy its location and amenities. As the city website states:

Although Centerville City is conveniently located in the middle of one of the nation's fastest growing regions, Centerville has retained a small-town atmosphere and similar quality of life. Centerville enjoys a moderate cost of living, a four-season climate, is home to the Davis Center for the Performing Arts and is located in the middle of the fabulous recreational and cultural opportunities available along the Wasatch Front. Eight major ski resorts are within a 45-minute drive of Centerville. The cultural treasures of downtown Salt Lake City, such as Ballet West, the Utah Symphony, Temple Square, and sporting events at the Energy Solutions Arena are fifteen minutes away. Centerville has excellent primary and secondary schools. Over 300 acres of parks and open space are available for individual, family and group activities ("About Centerville City," n.d.).

Background Research

Interaction with Centerville residents reveals their love for their city. The attachment and nostalgia that residents feel for their city creates a bond that can motivate people to action in behalf of their community (Manzo & Perkins, 2006). As they are involved in improvements, and even the day to day operations of the municipality, they will continue to become deeply ingrained in the community, because participation strengthens attachment and builds value. It is possible that this nostalgia or connection that people develop with a place could also hinder change because of the wish to maintain things as they are. Understandably this could be a roadblock to progression. Developing a shared identity can overcome this tendency and can actually be a motivator for people to act for the community to preserve the places they love and value. A community that has such a bond can thrive rather than struggle, creating a sense of ownership and pride (Manzo & Perkins, 2006).

History of Parks

The first urban public parks in the United States were actually cemeteries. Even though they were designed for burial, the living used them for passive recreation. Mount Auburn Cemetery in Massachusetts was beautifully designed, full of unique flowers and plants. People came to eat, to mingle and to walk (Greenfield, 2011; Kendall, 2018; Rotundo, 2012; Williams, 2014). Using these a spaces for passive recreation created a spark that grew into public desire for urban green spaces, which we know today as parks across the nation.



Figure 8 - St Paul's Churchyard, New York



Figure 9 - Central Park, New York

Central Park was the first urban landscaped park in the US, and grew out of a vision of providing greenspace in the midst of urban tumult. Its implementation was visionary and has shaped New York as it is known today ("Olmsted-Designed Parks : NYC Parks," n.d.; Waxman, 2019). From this beginning grew Boston's Emerald Necklace, a network of parks that make up the heart of the city ("Boston's Emerald Necklace - FrederickLawOlmsted.com," n.d.).

Background Research




Figure 10 - Osymn Merrit Deuel (Lemperle, 2019)

Parks are still being re-imagined, and finding their way into areas once thought derelict, to lift and rejuvenate them. Consider the Highline, again in New York. An abandoned rail line now teems with life, constantly full of visitors experiencing New York from a different perspective ("thehighline.org," 2019). Today's parks are integral to urban living and using them is just a part of everyday life.

Centerville City - Centerville was settled in 1847 by Thomas Grover, followed by the Deuel brothers, Osymn and William. The Deuel settlement, also known as City In-Between, was renamed Centerville in 1950 being centrally situated between the Farmington and Bountiful communities (Lemperle, 2019).

With the Wasatch mountains bordering on the east, and the Great Salt Lake to the west, Centerville is geographically constrained, and its growth will be mostly through increased density. There are many recreation opportunities nearby, including Wasatch Mountain trailheads at Deuel Creek, Parrish Canyon, and Freedom Hills. The Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area lies directly to the west of municipal boundary.

Park Use Today

Environmental cues are a large influencing factor in park activity. Whether this is related to physical park improvements, advertisements or signs for the park, classes, leagues, and so forth. Improvements themselves are also shown to increase park use and activity (Cohen et al., 2013). Though the initial increase with new facilities or amenities may not be fully sustained, the overall use is seen to increase when improvements are implemented. Even simple improvements such as signage can make a significant difference. In 2011-2012 a study determined that an immediate high increase in usage was found after signage was implemented along a trail in southern Nevada. This high increase declined after the initial opening, but leveled off at a higher usage than previous to the improvements (Clark, Bungum, Shan, Meacham, & Coker, 2014).

Park programming refers to organized activities, classes or leagues that can also draw users to the park. It can include informal activities such as gatherings for sports or events between family and friends. A higher number of organized activities correlate directly with a higher number of users found at a park (Cohen et al., 2010). This is not usually affected by the housing

Background Research

density surrounding a park. To best draw users, activities should be developed that draw adults and entire families, not just children. As more people are involved in activities and participate in programmed activities, this will in turn increase support for public parks (Cohen et al., 2010).



Figure 11 - Pickleball Courts at Bridger Park in Logan Utah

This point was extremely evident during one of the site visits to Centerville in 2018. There were football leagues playing at Centerville Community Park, and the park had been fenced off and admission was being charged for entry. The park was packed with people. During other visits there were a few users either on the playground, the trail, or the fields, but this event found the park near capacity. There were multiple teams playing on most of the fields, with spectators packed in, the playground was full of kids that had probably gotten tired of watching their siblings play, and parking was extended out along the street, with a continuous stream of people coming and going.

In addition to organized activities, cafés or shops nearby have been seen to increase park use and visitation by a high margin. This creates an opportunity to visit the area, and the proximity of the park is inviting and can also work to increase visitation to the café, restaurant, or nearby shop (Zannon, Curtis, Lockstone-Binney, & Hall, 2018). Porter-Walton Park is located next to Centerville's public library and is sure to get many visitors because of this proximity. Centerville's pocket park at the south end of town is located within a private community, is not visible from the street, and has no signage. This results in little to no usage, a fact that the parks department would like to rectify.



Figure 12 - Davis County Library

Background Research

Pedestrian infrastructure improvements can also increase park use, both walking for transport and trails within the park system. It is challenging to distinguish which pedestrians are commuting, and which are walking for leisure. Unexpectedly, school commuting was not found to increase with infrastructure improvements (Fitzhugh, Bassett, & Evans, 2010; Panter, Heinen, Mackett, & Ogilvie, 2016). In Logan, Utah, similar results were found after the Denzil-Stewart Nature Park improvements. Active transport to schools were hoped to increase with access through the park but was not found to have increased significantly. Perhaps this trend is due to habit, with active commuters continuing to use routes already established, and others continuing their current commute patterns.

A challenge of public parks is making them accessible and inviting to all age groups. Conditions can support or limit activity and use. Some usage can be assumed, adults and seniors spend more time on paths, walking, jogging, strolling, while children and teens spend similar time on the playground equipment, paths, grass, etc. (Cohen et al., 2016).



Figure 13 - Stairs at Island View

Trends

Economic development depends on not only quality parks but also quality greenspace throughout the city (Dolesh, 2018). Trends tend to change as years progress. For example, Centerville has tennis courts on many of their old master plans, but tennis is less in demand today, making way for pickleball courts. Whatever the amenities, parks are seeing an increase in use overall by a substantial number (Recreation Management, 2019).



Figure 14 - Carving at Community Park

More improvements are being made to existing parks than new construction. The most common planned additions to existing parks in order of popularity for 2018 were:

1. Waterplay features (#1 in 2017 also)
 2. Dog parks
 3. Fitness trails and outdoor fitness equipment
 4. Walking and hiking trails
 5. Synthetic sports turf fields (not on the list in 2017)
 6. Playgrounds
 7. Disc golf courses
 8. Shelters or pavilions
 9. Restroom structures
 10. Bike trails (not on the top ten list in 2017)
- (WIFI Services and fitness centers left the 2017 list).

Background Research

Many of these features are explored as possible amenities throughout this document. Dog parks are one of the fastest growing park types (Dolesh, 2018), and is not found in Centerville. Waterplay is also missing, though there are adjacent cities that have splash pads. As discussed in the park use section, programming is an essential element of park use, and trends in this area set a helpful framework for exploration. Programming can be anything from holiday events to sports teams and are activities that are held at park locations.



Figure 15 - Central Park Splash Pad, Smithfield Utah

The most popular programming trends for 2018 were as follows:

1. Environmental education programs (#1 in 2017)
2. Fitness programs (up from #3)
3. Teen programming (down from #2)
4. Educational programs (up from #5)
5. Mind/body like yoga (down from #4)
6. Day and summer camps (up from #8)
7. Special needs programs (not on the top ten list in 2017)
8. Adult sport teams (not on the top ten list in 2017)
9. Individual sport activities (not on the top ten list in 2017)
10. Sport specific training (not on the top ten list in 2017)

Centerville shares some programming with adjacent communities like Farmington and Bountiful, and this is kept in mind throughout the document.




Figure 16 - Yoga

Background Research

Precedents

There are many excellent precedents of effective park master plans. This plan was predicated on the example of many plans that were reviewed. Sections that met the spirit of Centerville's update were used to inspire actions for this review. North Salt Lake, Payson City and Washington City are all cities in Utah that are similar in size to Centerville and have fairly recent parks master plans. Lehi, Draper and Logan, Utah, and the City of Rio Vista, CA plans were also looked at for inspiration. Lehi and Draper in particular have very successful park systems that residents are very happy with (City of Draper Parks, Recreation and Trails Master Plan, 2008; Logan Utah Comprehensive Parks, Trails, Recreation, and Open Space Plan, 2015; "Parks and Recreation Masterplan," 2015; "Parks Master Plan," 2013; Payson City Parks, Trails, and Open Space, 2007; The City of North Salt Lake Parks, Trails and Recreation Master Plan and Study, 2005; Walker et al., 2015).

One inspiring aspect of Logan's Parks Master Plan was the vision that they portray in the beginning of their plan. They outline over-arching goals that they hope to work toward, and a plan to achieve the vision. North Salt Lake's plan had a good layout and was about the size that would work for Centerville's plan. It was referred to often and helped establish a layout. Washington City's plan had an effective inventory section that was helpful. Payson also had a very simple, clear and easy to follow layout on their parks plan.



Figure 17 - Sunset over the Oquirrh Mountain Range

Background Research

Methodology

Methods used throughout this plan follow design guidelines learned in the Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning program at Utah State University. Many of these follow the methods outlined by Toth (Toth, 1974). The methodology for this project is outlined below:

- Perform background research that explores:
 - History
 - Identity
 - Park use
 - Trends
 - Precedents
 - Purpose and scope
- Inventory existing conditions:
 - Explore context and character of Centerville
 - Review population demographic data
 - Photograph existing conditions on site
 - Map parks with drone
 - Classification of parks
 - List existing projects currently underway
- Analyze existing conditions:
 - Areas of concern, in need of repair or attention
 - Measure against national standards and adjacent or similar communities
 - Adjacent context analysis
- Build needs assessment
 - Survey preparation
 - Survey administration through Centerville
 - Process and synthesize data collected
- Provide recommendations
 - Individual Park Recommendations
 - Future growth recommendations
- Create action plan that includes immediate, short and long term goals, and plan for updating this document

Background Research

Purpose and Scope

Centerville reached out to Utah State University in 2018 to conduct analysis of the existing Parks Master Plan and review the possibility of completing an update. Paul Stead undertook this as his thesis project for his master's degree in landscape architecture. An updated Parks Master Plan will provide a unified vision for the residents and leaders of Centerville to come together around, creating stability and continuity to the future of Centerville's open space infrastructure.

Parks are an integral part of the community. Park location and amenities can influence community design, enhance the quality of life for residents, and contribute positively to a community's aesthetics.



Figure 18 - Centerville Community Park Playground

Population and Characteristics

Population Growth

Centerville is almost built out. Its current population is estimated to be 17,657 (Manson, Schroeder, Riper, & Ruggles, 2018), up from 1535 in 2010 (US Census Report, n.d.). For much new growth to occur, density will need to increase. There are still areas zoned as agricultural land, but care should be taken to plan out growth within these areas so it is succinct and fits within community goals and objectives.

Chart 1 - Growth Projections

Year	Source	Population	Difference	Growth
2005	Census	14707	93	0.63%
2006	Census	14945	238	1.59%
2007	Census	15162	217	1.43%
2008	Census	15271	109	0.71%
2009	Census	15310	39	0.25%
2010	Census	15,335	25	0.16%
2011	Census Estimate	15,553	218	1.40%
2012	Census Estimate	16,167	614	3.80%
2013	Census Estimate	16,557	390	2.36%
2014	Census Estimate	16,749	192	1.15%
2015	Census Estimate	16,828	79	0.47%
2016	Census Estimate	17,247	419	2.43%
2017	Census Estimate	17,657	410	2.32%

Household Characteristics

Household demographics impact demand for parks and recreation. The average Centerville household size is 3.08, just under the Utah average household size of 3.14, but higher than the US average of 2.63. Eighty percent of households in Centerville have two or more family members living there, emphasizing the importance of families in Centerville (US Census Report, n.d.). Additional demographic information can be found starting on page 44.



Figure 19 - Family Sketch

Centerville Parks


Existing Parks

Centerville has seven existing parks, plus three special use areas and other open space areas. The parks are classified below in order to better understand their uses, purpose and acreage.

Chart 2 - Existing Parks and Open Space

Classification	Name/Location	Acres	Total
Community Park	Centerville City Park	30.03	30.03
Neighborhood Parks	Freedom Hills Park	9.28	
	Island View Park	6.68	
	Porter-Walton Park	2.93	
	Smoot Park	8.35	
	William R Smith Park	2.33	29.57
Mini Park	Commons Pocket Park	0.68	0.68
Special Use Parks	Cemetery	8.7	
	Frontage Road Parkway	11	
	Bamberger Parkway	6	25.7
Open Space	Bowl All Use ATV Area	Not Included	
	US Forest Service	Not Included	n/a
Total			85.98

Figure 20 - Park and Open Space Locations



Centerville Parks

Current and future Projects

Below are the park projects that are currently envisioned. Island View has funding and is in the final planning stages.

- Community Park has some elements that are being implemented currently, and some that are yet to begin.
- Parrish Creek is planned for a small parcel that Centerville has acquired. It hasn't been a priority yet, but the parks department hopes to implement it soon.
- The Brownfield site is in the conceptual stage and is a great opportunity to get a park on the southwest side of town.

Chart 3 - Envisioned Projects

Park	Improvements envisioned	Status
Island View Park	Complete renovation.	Currently underway
	Playground equipment removed, multi-tier play structure to replace.	Planned
	Raquetball/handball removed, replaced with additional tennis.	Planned
	Add basketball court. New benches, restrooms, pavillion, etc.	Planned
Community Park	Pavillion and restrooms at expansion on southwest side	Currently underway
	Pathway around expansion and connected to existing	Currently underway
	Foot's all court added at Northwest section of park.	Planned
Parrish Creek Parkway	Conceptual stage. Need funding.	Planned
Brownfield site	Additional city park	Future





How Centerville Compares

Comparison

Overall Centerville compares very well to similar cities in Utah, and is pretty close to the national residents per park average, which is 2,114 residents per park. However, most communities in Utah have a much lower acres per resident count than the national average of 10.1 acres per 1,000 residents.

These consistently lower numbers in Utah may be due to the proximity of a rich selection of BLM and National Park Land in Utah.

Figure 19 - Park Comparison

City Statistics	Residents per park	Park Acres/1,000 Res
Centerville City		
Pop: 17,657 7 Parks 86 Acres	 2,522	 4.9
North Salt Lake		
Pop: 19,193 7 Parks 148.5 Acres	 2,742	 7.7
Farmington		
Pop: 24,066 11 Parks 88.1 Acres	 2,188	 4.1
Washington City		
Pop: 26,405 12 Parks 86 Acres	 2,200	 3.3

Inventory and Analysis

Introduction

The following section includes a snapshot of each Centerville park. Each park was visited and analyzed. A drone was used to capture topography and give a high-quality base map of each site. The parks director was consulted regarding known issues, and on-site observations were made.



Figure 22 - Mapping Sites with Drone Technology

Inventory and Analysis

Centerville Community Park - Summary




Figure 23 - Bear Carving

- 1 Sports draw many residents year- round. Baseball, football, soccer and other sports keep this park busy, and draw more users than many other parks in Centerville.
- 2 The playground equipment is in good condition overall. The sand and digging equipment installed recently is very popular and getting the most use of any equipment other than the swings on site during my visit. This equipment is geared more toward smaller children.
- 3 There are a few issues with wear that need to be repaired on the nylon areas of the playground.
- 4 BBQ stations allow easy access to grilling, while being away from the tables. The pavilion is large enough to allow for quite a large group to gather for picnics and other gatherings.
- 5 There is a marked walking path around the park, giving users an idea of distance. This route will be extended to include the path around the park expansion.
- 6 This lending library near the pavilion was set up through an eagle project. It's another draw for the park. This library could use a fresh coat of paint or stain on the door.
- 7 Recently Centerville has consolidated trash to dumpsters instead of in bins around the park. This has kept the park cleaner, and drastically reduced the time and resources spent on park cleanup.
- 8 Volleyball court is in good condition. It makes a good sandbox for kids when not in use for volleyball. You'll find this in use most days throughout the week.



Inventory and Analysis

Centerville Community Park - Site Map



Inventory and Analysis

Island View Park - Summary





Figure 33 - View West

- 1 Island view park is built on the foothills, and is unique, with three terraces. It is slated for renovation, so observations may not be relevant with the current changes planned.
- 2 Playground equipment needs to be updated. There are many areas of disrepair. As stated above, this is slated for renovation. There is a multi-level playground designed to replace the existing structures.
- 3 The top terrace is mainly dedicated to sports fields. There is a ball field, soccer goals and there are plans for a new basketball court in the redesign on the north side.
- 4 Perhaps due to the maturity and terraced design of this park, there are many areas that feel intimate and are very comfortable to enjoy in this park. It is beloved by many residents.
- 5 ADA compliance is a challenge. There is a path from the first and second terrace, but not from the second and third. The driveway is steep and there is additional road access to the third (top) terrace from the south.
- 6 An ad hoc path runs up along the hill that borders the park. There is some concern of unwanted activity in this area, putting in official paths would help make this area more public and discourage this type of activity.
- 7 There are some amazing views from the top of the park. You can see out across the valley through the trees. It creates a good prospect and refuge feel for users as they look down from the park to the valley or lower terraces.



Inventory and Analysis

Island View Park - Site Map



Inventory and Analysis

Smoot Park - Summary and Site Map

Smoot Park is centrally located and has a rich historical background. It is located on the Smoot dairy site. The family donated 10 acres for the park to Centerville City in 1974. The park includes sport fields, tennis courts, a creek from east to west, as well as a playground, swings and a volleyball court. Smoot park feels intimate, with its mature trees and narrow shape. Even with its proximity to Main Street, it feels to visitors that they are off the beaten path.

1 Surfacing is worn and needs to be resurfaced in a few areas. This represents regular maintenance. Mainly an aesthetic issue but lower layers can wear quicker if left worn.



2 Ricks Creek runs through the entire park, becoming quite shallow after running under the street and out through a grate on the west side. This is an opportunity for more amenities.



3 Wood border around swings and volleyball area needs to be replaced or repaired. Consideration could be taken to replace with a more durable material.




4 Swings are older but appear in good condition. Doubles as a sand play area, and its proximity to the playground allows parents to easily keep an eye on their children.



5 Some faded or missing signs in the park. The sign to the right should say 'Smoot Family Park'. Overall the park is in good condition and well cared for.



6 Ricks creek presents an opportunity to for waterplay. Children already love to play in it but has a fairly steep bank and can get deep in sections.



7 The playground is newer, in good condition. Surrounding trees provide shade over the play area. This is a huge benefit to this play area, and factors into the use of this play area.



Figure 49 - Smoot Park Analysis



Inventory and Analysis

Freedom Hills Park - Summary



Figure 51 - Entry to Freedom Hills Park

- 1 At the entrance to Freedom Hills Park stands a memorial to the soldiers who have fought for our freedom. It is a powerful monument and ties into the historical theme of many of Centerville's parks.
- 2 A nine-hole disc golf course is laid out on the south half of the park. This is a challenging course, and the changing elevation makes this a course many are drawn to.
- 3 Trailhead for the Freedom Hills Trail gains about 300 feet in elevation, climbing up to meet the Bonneville Shoreline Trail. Side trails give opportunities for further climbing up into the mountains.
- 4 Paved paths give walkers many options within the park, climbing up in the foothill and have some decent climbs. The vegetation along the path is beautiful, and also allows access to the disc golf course.
- 5 In addition to the trails mentioned above, there is also an equestrian trail that runs on the lower elevation foothills, hearkening back to a time that horses were more prevalent in the community.
- 6 Areas found at some of the higher points in this park give a great view across the Salt Lake Valley. These emphasize the relationship between the Wasatch Mountain Range and Centerville City.
- 7 Freedom hills is designed with natural swales meandering throughout the park, making the transition from the foothills to the urban neighborhoods seem more natural.
- 8 The playground is close to the parking lot, positioned close to the pavilion and parking lot. Playground is newer and in good condition. The rubber surfacing has only minor wear, mostly in good condition.



Inventory and Analysis

Freedom Hills Park - Site Map



Inventory and Analysis

Porter-Walton Park - Summary



Figure 61 - Library Sidewalk

1 Porter-Walton Park connects to Bamberger Trail, which runs from Parrish Lane (400 North) to Porter Lane (400 South).

2 Porter-Walton Park's playground is small but in great condition; it is meant for younger children. As at Smoot Park, some of the surfacing needs some maintenance.

3 No Smoking and Dogs on a Leash signs near the parking lot are faded from sun exposure. They need to be replaced.

4 BBQ grills were put in as an Eagle Scout Project. They are on the south side of the pavilion, with the bathrooms. They are somewhat distanced from the picnic tables.

5 The park's proximity to the Davis County Library encourages use to and from the library. It shares parking with the park and is a good resource for library visitors.

6 The field at Porter-Walton Park is geared more toward informal sport gatherings, with trees planted in the field.

7 Basketball courts are a recent installment and are in excellent condition. The court holds six hoops and has non-slip surfacing.

8 A swing set is slated to be constructed just to the east of the playground. This improvement is awaiting funds to be completed.



Inventory and Analysis

Porter-Walton Park - Site Map



Inventory and Analysis

William R Smith Park - Summary and Site Map

William R. Smith Park, previously known as Founders Park, is located downtown next to City Hall. The Smith Family donated the land to Centerville City for a park, thus the name. The park is well used. Christmas lights are put up in trees during the holidays, and mature trees give users an understanding of this park's age.

1 William R. Smith Park displays a monument to Centerville pioneers. Plaques are mounted on the front and back of the memorial, outlining the history and heritage of the early saints in the area. This is a great landmark for residents.



2 The small scale of the park allows for many amenities throughout the park. Seating is dispersed underneath trees around edges, encouraging exploration by creating intimate spaces around the park.



3 Playground is in good condition, with two climbing walls, slides and monkey-bars. The surfacing is in good condition, and the play structure is shaded by nearby mature trees, creating a comfortable atmosphere year-round.



4 The pavilion at William R. Smith Park is large and provides space for fairly sizable gatherings. The pavilion's architecture feels historic, and with the large trees it fits the mature feel of the park.



5 A bus stop is installed at the northwest corner of the park. It is a sheltered stop and is positioned well for use. This stop serves the intercity bus 470, running every 30 minutes from Salt Lake City to Ogden.



Figure 76 - Smith Park Analysis

Inventory and Analysis



Inventory and Analysis

Commons Pocket Park - Summary

Commons Pocket Park is located at the south end of Centerville, in a development called Centerville's the Commons. They donated this land to the city for a public park, but it is not well known, partially because it is not easily visible from the road and is lacking signage. This is a great opportunity for a specialized park, such as a dog park. It would be easily fenced in and with proper signage and advertising, easily accessed by residents.



Figure 78 - Water Detention Area

1 With only one small path leading from Centerville Commons Way to 150 East, this park feels like more of a detention basin than a park. Lack of any benches or amenities accentuate this feel and create a space that isn't unwelcoming, but not inviting.



2 Lack of signage makes this area vague, creating ambiguity of whether it is a public space or a private park for the community. The park blends into the adjoining residents' lawns. With no visible boundaries, this adds further to the confusion of public vs private space.



3 This would make a perfect space for a dog park. Fencing is already in place on the North and West sides of the park, making it very affordable to finish fencing off the rest of the park. If a dog park is something Centerville residents desire this is a good location. If not, installation of a playground, benches and signage would let people know this is a public space and encourage use.

4 Signage is needed on 1600 North and Main Street to help people find the park.

Inventory and Analysis

Commons Pocket Park - Site Map



Figure 81 - Commons Pocket Park Analysis

Existing Amenities

	Playground	Swings	Basketball	Sports fields	Tennis courts	Paths	Trails	Disc golf
Community Park								
Freedom Hills Park								
Island View Park								
Porter-Walton Park								
Smoot Park								
William R. Smith Park								
Commons Pocket Park								
Cemetary								
Frontage Rd Parkway								
Bamberger Parkway								

Pavilion	Restrooms	BBQ Grill	Pet cleanup	Volleyball	Libraries	View Area	Water	Monuments	Mature Trees	Bus stop	Benches	Fountain
												
												
												
												
												
												
												
												

Figure 80 - Existing Amenities



Figure 83 - Pioneer Monument at Smith Park

Gap Analysis

Proximity

Proximity analysis of Centerville Parks began with buffering each park based on its classification, assuming that most users live in fairly close proximity to the park they frequent most. This assumption is supported by the responses to the survey, in which respondents were asked why they use Centerville parks. 'Walking' and 'close to home' were the highest responses overall.

Community parks see the largest amount of use, so they were given a half mile buffer, about a 15 minute walk, acknowledging that the use extends much farther for events such as the football tournaments throughout the summer.

Neighborhood parks were set with a quarter mile buffer, about a 7 minute walk. This is the typical U.S. standard for walkability (Sturm and Cohen, 2014).

The pocket park was given an eighth mile buffer, seeing it has little amenities and the least amount of use. This could extend if it becomes more of a specialty type park.



Figure 84 - Traveling to a Park


Gap Analysis

Proximity to Parks

U.S. census data (Manson et al., 2018) was used to give an approximation of the percentage of users served within each park buffer area.

Users were broken out into three age categories: children 4 and under, ages 5-12, ages 15-17, and adults 18 and above. The graph below shows what age groups live within each buffer area.

Table 4 - Number of Residents Within Each Park Buffer Area



Gap Analysis

Parks Buffer Map




Figure 85 - Centerville Park Buffers


Gap Analysis

Proximity to Schools

Public schools have playgrounds and open space that can be utilized by residents as park space as well, though the times for use are limited by the schools schedules.

Centerville schools are listed with a 1/4-mile walkable buffer and residents living within these boundaries are listed in the graph below.

Table 5 - Number of Residents Within Each School Buffer Area



Gap Analysis

Schools Buffer Map





Figure 86 - Centerville School Buffers

Gap Analysis

Gaps

75 percent of residents live inside a walkable distance to a park based on the buffers used, and data from the U.S. Census Bureau. This still leaves 25 percent of residents outside a walkable distance to any city park.



The following page shows a map of gaps in Centerville's Park System:

Area of concern 1a is undeveloped agricultural land, so need is currently low. This could change if development occurs after the West Davis Parkway is implemented (see future growth analysis starting on page 74). The south area of concern, 1b, is mostly commercial, but implementing a park or pocket parks in this area could cause economic growth by encouraging shoppers to stay longer. It would help beautify and define a city center and benefit Centerville as a whole.

Areas of concern 2a and 2b are in park buffer zones, so users could use park space when available, but parks in these areas would be helpful to give users more accessible spaces to recreate.

Area of concern 3a is outside of school and park buffers but is close to open space and trails in the foothills. This is a great asset, but should not be solely relied upon. Not all people may be able or want to use the foothills as recreation, and a park in this area would be beneficial to users living in this and adjacent areas.

Specific areas that could be considered for implementation of park space are explored in the recommendations section, page 76.

Gap Analysis

Gaps

- Area of Concern 1(Agricultural areas)
- Area of Concern 2 (Residential)
- Area of Concern 3 (Trail lands, etc.)




Figure 87 - Gap Analysis Map



Figure 88 - Centerville City Hall (centervilleut.net)

Survey Results

Outline

Centerville City sent out a survey to its residents in the city newsletter. Notifications were also sent out through email and social media to the residents that are connected.

Based on the average household size and population there are approximately 5733 households. Of the surveys sent out, 831 responses were received, resulting in a response rate of 14.5%.

In building the survey, many precedents were consulted to get started. Centerville had a survey sent out 2007, with a few park related questions (see Appendix page 95). These were included if applicable to see the change if any or trends that Centerville was experiencing. Of note are questions 17-24. Interestingly, trails were and continue to be rated as the highest valued amenity in Centerville. While some amenities appear to have an comparatively lower interest from the 2007 survey such as tennis, other things such as playgrounds, open areas and additional cemetery land are still high on the list.

Lehi sent out a community survey in 2013 that was very succinct and helpful in the creation of this survey for Centerville. Also, Draper's entire parks master plan was built around feedback they received from their community. While more intensive than the survey for Centerville, this also provided additional clarity and ideas on what the best approach to take would be. After developing survey questions, these were taken to the Centerville Parks Director, followed by the Parks Committee, for revision. Their feedback helped personalize the survey for Centerville and understand questions to draw out what is most important to the community.

Processing the data gathered was a huge undertaking. 834 responses were received, with about $\frac{3}{4}$ of those in paper form. Centerville's youth council must be recognized for the work they did in processing around 300 survey responses. Students in the Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning department at Utah State University processed another 300. This help was invaluable in getting the responses to a usable format to be able to analyze and understand what the residents needs and wants are.

The responses received guide the recommendations in this plan and where the city should focus its efforts. This is important information for the city council to have as they strive to understand what decisions to make on behalf of residents.



Figure 89 - Piles of Paper Surveys (illustrative)

Survey Results

Age Demographics





Survey respondents were predominantly female, between 35-44, homeowners, had children at home, with an income above \$100,000.

Only 2 children under 18 filled out the survey, one 9-year-old and one 13-year-old. There were also only a couple respondents over 75 years.

The respondent demographics fairly closely follow the demographics of Centerville, with the exception of children.

Demographics of Davis County for comparison.

Demographics of Utah for comparison.









Survey Results

Income, Education & Housing

Centerville residents have higher overall income than those in Davis County, Utah, and the US. This matches the education percentages, a higher percentage of Centerville residents have secondary degrees or higher than Davis County and Utah, with the US quite a bit behind percentage wise.

Centerville has a lower median home price than both Davis County and Utah, but higher than the United States. The median rent is close to the national average, but substantially more residents own their homes in Centerville than rent ("Real Estate Overview for Centerville, UT - Trulia," n.d.). These numbers are very similar to the survey respondent demographics.



Survey Results

Parks Outside of Centerville

65% of survey respondents responded that they do use parks outside of Centerville.

Reasons listed for visiting parks outside of Centerville include facilities like playgrounds, splash pads, dog friendly or pickleball courts that aren't found in Centerville parks. Many listed the proximity of family and friends as well.

Most respondents (54%) cited Bountiful parks as those they visit most, followed by much lower mentions of West Bountiful, Farmington, Salt Lake City, Kaysville, North Salt Lake, Layton, Fruit Heights, and Woods Cross.






Table 20 - Why Parks Outside of Centerville?




Survey Results

Centerville Parks


Respondents were given multiple choice for why they used Centerville parks.

Table 21 - Why do you use Centerville Parks?



For Community Park, running and walking are the top reasons, followed by sport facilities. Island View’s highest reasons are sports fields followed by walking, relaxation and atmosphere. Porter Walton’s was a toss-up between picnic facilities and the playground. Freedom Hills are running, walking, relaxation and atmosphere, then picnic facilities. For Smoot, Relaxation and atmosphere, followed closely by playgrounds. Smith park’s highest reasons are picnic facilities followed by playgrounds.

Table 22 - Why do you use Centerville Parks Totals



If the reasons are looked at altogether, walking is the highest reason given overall, followed by close to home. Even though this didn’t show up in any one parks highest reason, it was second overall.

Survey Results

Travel

Overall, walking is the preferred way to get to the parks, twice as many respondents walk to parks as drive.

Quite a few people also bike, but only about a third as respondents that walk.

Table 23 - Travel





Table 24 - When Visiting Centerville Parks, how do you Travel There?




Survey Results

Activities

There is a wide variety of activities that respondents participate in. The top three, are walking or walking a pet, playgrounds, then hiking.

This analysis helps illuminate what amenities are important to residents. It illuminates what they currently participate in, whether or not this activity is available in Centerville.

Table 25 - What Activities do you or your Family Participate in?




Survey Results

Activities

The 2008 Centerville survey had a similar question. Walking and running were the highest rated, followed by playgrounds. These results are very similar to earlier results. Biking was rated quite low in the 2008 survey but was much higher in these results. A water play area has also risen in popularity as more and more residents are interested in seeing one in Centerville.

Table 25 - (Continued)



Survey Results

Activity Needs

A question regarding participatory activities was asked to see what residents were interested in. Respondents are highly interested in more activities for children; the highest pick. People are also interested in outdoor markets, with sporting events and educational opportunities coming in close at third. The 'other' chart shows what residents wrote in as important.

Table 26 What does Centerville need More of?






Table 27 - Other Needs



Survey Results

Park Land

The results for the question of what park land is most valuable to residents was answered mostly as expected, with neighborhood parks most important. One result that was unexpected was 'Linear Parks Along Streams and Washes'. This is a type of park that the parks department can pursue knowing it is important to residents, along with 'Large Open Space Preserves'. Centerville has some unique land and opportunities to fulfill this desire. The Parrish Creek Parkway would meet these criteria and be a good addition to the Bamberger and Frontage Parkway.



Additional Facilities

Respondents picked high priority items, then chose their three most important items. This gives us a clear idea of what is most important to them.

If the top three answers are aggregated, they show the highest priority by far is walking and hiking trails. This is in line with the previous responses regarding park use. Second are nature trails and native landscapes, closely related to the first.

Waterplay comes next, with a few respondents mentioning Ricks Creek at Smoot Park. This is followed closely by cemetery land. These answers are far above the others in importance and illustrate what Centerville can focus on.



If the first priority is looked at, cemeteries just come in first, followed by walking/ hiking trails, nature trails and landscapes, splash pad or waterplay, pickleball courts and a dog park or area.

Chart 30 - First Priority

Parks	Responses
Cemeteries	75
Walking/Hiking trails	73
Splash pad/Waterplay areas	64
Nature Trails/Native Landscape	62

Survey Results

Park specific improvements

Chart 31 - Highest Priority

	Community Park	Island View Park	Porter-Walton Park	Freedom Hills Park	Smoot Park	Smith Park
Improved Playground Equipment	160	145	55	37	76	53
Improved Sports Field/Courts	49	67	10	23	25	9
Maintenance/Cleanliness	72	80	36	36	51	40
Additional Trees/Vegetation	87	34	63	59	31	24
Enhanced Lighting/Safety	70	81	26	35	39	28
Additional Picnic facilities/ Pavilion	51	53	18	25	29	23
Better ADA/Access	23	32	12	14	20	17
Additional Restrooms	48	58	13	18	23	24
Additional Parking	51	38	13	12	35	38
Additional Trails	25	39	18	31	31	22
Additional Shade	103	33	59	64	28	19
No Improvements needed	37	29	29	27	33	31
Other _____	25	16	9	10	12	9

These are the items that should receive the most immediate focus.

- Community Park received the most attention, with the playground being voted the highest need of improvement. Additional shade at the community park is also high priority.
- Island View's playground equipment is second highest priority, but it already has a revision in the works.
- Porter-Walton's highest need is additional trees and vegetation, followed closely by more shade – which would come as a result. Playground equipment follows shortly.
- Freedom Hills top answers are also closely related, additional shade and more trees and vegetation.
- Smoot and Smith Park both have playgrounds as their top priority.

Chart 32 - Medium Priority

	Community Park	Island View Park	Porter-Walton Park	Freedom Hills Park	Smoot Park	Smith Park
Improved Playground Equipment	35	16	31	33	46	38
Improved Sports Field/Courts	32	24	20	20	34	14
Maintenance/Cleanliness	33	23	27	23	22	21
Additional Trees/Vegetation	26	31	24	26	29	22
Enhanced Lighting/Safety	32	24	24	22	25	24
Additional Picnic facilities/ Pavilion	34	23	22	29	24	28
Better ADA/Access	27	28	25	18	18	18
Additional Restrooms	33	22	28	25	23	23
Additional Parking	28	29	21	17	24	21
Additional Trails	28	21	25	19	18	19
Additional Shade	20	27	21	20	25	21
No Improvements needed	5	7	6	4	6	5
Other _____	0	2	0	1	1	0

Playgrounds come in first at medium priority in all parks, with the exception of Island View which respondents feel could use additional trees and vegetation.

Survey Results

Park specific improvements


Chart 33 - Not a Priority

	Community Park	Island View Park	Porter-Walton Park	Freedom Hills Park	Smoot Park	Smith Park
Improved Playground Equipment	28	28	41	44	34	38
Improved Sports Field/Courts	75	43	64	59	58	78
Maintenance/Cleanliness	42	24	40	41	40	46
Additional Trees/Vegetation	48	57	32	32	65	57
Enhanced Lighting/Safety	41	25	47	35	40	46
Additional Picnic facilities/ Pavilion	64	49	58	54	56	58
Better ADA/Access	74	52	60	63	67	67
Additional Restrooms	51	42	58	56	59	58
Additional Parking	71	50	63	66	53	52
Additional Trails	75	47	53	50	53	61
Additional Shade	50	46	36	33	54	61
No Improvements needed	23	25	20	20	22	25
Other _____	2	3	3	4	3	4

Sports fields and ADA access were the highest ranked in this category, meaning that these issues are not important to most respondents. This does not mean that these items are not important, only that residents don't have issues with the current status.

RAP Tax

The parks department and city council were interested to see respondents' views on bonding to implement improvements. The majority of respondents were in favor of bonding, but not by a large margin.




Survey Results

Respondent Comments

Respondents had an opportunity to comment at the end of the survey. Some of the comments are repetitions from early responses but it is worth noting what people took the time to say or reiterate. Only subjects that include more mentions will be looked at here, starting with the highest and ending at the least amount of comments. The parks mentioned the most in comments were Centerville Community Park and Island View Park, although five of the comments about Island View were suggesting using all or part of the park as an expansion for the cemetery.

Table 35 - Aggregated Comments



Playgrounds were mentioned in 24 comments, with many respondents noting the playgrounds at Centerville Community Park and Island View Park as most needing updated equipment. Island View Park is already slated for renovation, but with all the traffic at Centerville Community Park, this may be worth considering for future updates. Shade was mentioned a few times as lacking at the parks in Centerville, with suggestions for some kind of canopy to allow use in the heat of the summer.

Hiking and walking trails were mentioned in 24 comments, with many people hoping to keep expanding the network of trails in the foothills and in the city.

20 comments were people wanting to say thank you, for the opportunity to be heard and for the efforts toward park improvements. Almost as many people expressed their love for Centerville and their community.

Survey Results

Respondent Comments

Respondents had an opportunity to comment at the end of the survey to reiterate their preferences, or to mention something they felt was not addressed in the survey. The following 5 subjects were the most often expressed in the comments, and only varied by a few responses. They are listed in order from the most amount of comments to the least:


1. Splash pads, or waterplay areas, were a large focus with the majority of comments. A few people noted the opportunity for a natural water feature at Smoot Park.
2. Cemetery Space - The need for more cemetery space also had many mentions, with pleas to expand the cemetery to give more people the opportunity to have plots in Centerville.
3. Bike Trails, including mountain bike and dedicated trails were high in importance mentioned. A few people cited preferences for dedicated trails instead of bike lanes. These preferences ranged from trails in the foothills to trails in the city.
4. Dog Parks, just as many comments mentioned dog parks. Many respondents would like to see a dedicated off leash dog park, or a fenced off portion of an existing park. There were a few that suggested just implementing on leash and off leash days at existing parks or expanding dog friendly spaces. There were a few respondents with concerns about people not following the leash rules at existing parks. Perhaps implementation of a park or space to let dogs off leash would help by giving a space for owners to give their dogs time to run.
5. Pickleball Courts were only slightly lower, with respondents hoping to see some courts constructed at one or more parks.

These other subjects had only a few mentions and are listed in order from most to least mentioned:

- Park Maintenance
- Baseball or Batting Cages
- Outdoor Pool for Centerville
- Need for more Lighting
- More Shade
- More Open Space
- Wooded areas, or Parks Along Streams
- Additional Restrooms or Year-Round Use
- The lamentation of the name change of Founders Park

There were also a few other non-park suggestions.

Most Comments



Least

Recommendations

Introduction

Recommendations stem from inventory and analysis observations, from interviews with the parks department, chair, and parks director, as well as respondent feedback from the survey. These recommendations include important elements for consideration and should be reviewed at regular intervals to update with changing trends and needs.



Figure 91 - Centerville Parks Department Meeting

Action Plan Recommendations

Long Term, 10-20 years

Broader goals and plans that align with Centerville's values. It is expected that plan will need to be created, or refined, adjustments will be needed as updates are made to the master plan.

Table 38 - Long Term Action Plan

Area	Action
Agricultural lands	Passive boardwalk or nature trails west of I-15 in the in the wetlands and agriculture zoned areas
	Additional parks in place of above-mentioned boardwalk
Brownfield site	Explore brownfield site at southwest edge of town as future park
Centerville Community Park	Off leash dog area at Centerville Community Park, and/or at neighborhood parks
Parkways	Emphasize existing and expand trail connections to parks and existing trails, i.e. Bamberger Parkway, Frontage Road Parkway, etc.
All Parks	Consider additional mid-block access points to City parks (see Freedom Hills as example)
William R Smith Park	Acquisition of residential lots for Smith Park expansion (see Smith Park recommendations)

Short Term, 5 years

Programs, policies and developments that could be implemented soon. These may be lower priority, require additional funding, or are waiting on other projects before action can be taken.

Table 39 - Short Term Action Plan

Area	Action
Centerville Community	Pickleball Courts
	Main Playground Update
Island View Park	Island View Renovation phase 2 (see master plan for details)
Smoot Park	Pickleball Courts
	Waterplay area utilizing Ricks Creek
Freedom Hills Park	Look for pumptrack area
Porter-Walton Park	Pavilion at southeast area of park
	Implementation of reading area from original plan
William R Smith Park	Implement plaza and landscaping behind museum
	Pickleball courts
Commons Pocket Park	Fencing, signage, benches and gates to turn pocket park into dog park
Cemetery	Purchase land identified for cemetery expansion
Other	Parrish Creek Parkway Park implementation

Action Plan Recommendations

Immediate, 1-2 years

Programs, policies and developments that could be implemented soon. These may be lower priority, require additional funding, or are waiting on other projects before action can be taken.

Table 40 - Immediate Action Plan

Area	Action
Centerville Community Park	Expansion completion, including open field for soccer and lacrosse, and walking paths
	Futsal park
	Pavilion by futsal court
	Small west playground and restrooms
Island View Park	Island View renovation, phase 1 (see master plan for details)
	Recommended to include entire playground in phase 1 if financially feasible
Smoot Park	Swing and volleyball border repair
Freedom Hills Park	Pickleball courts
Porter-Walton Park	Swings east of playground
William R Smith Park	Appropriation of land for park expansion
Commons Pocket Park	Finalize plans for pocket park, either creation of a dog park, addition of playground, or re-acquisition by Centerville Commons
Cemetery	Identify land for cemetery expansion

Recommended Updates

This Parks Master Plan should be updated regularly, ideally every 5 years. Updates should include evaluations and refinement based on the vision of Centerville City.

Future Growth

Current zoning map of Centerville shows mostly low-density residential development.





Figure 92 - Centerville Zoning Map

Future Growth



Growth Recommendations

One major consideration of this area are the wetland portions that are contained throughout. A recommendation for these areas is to expand the city's passive recreation areas.

This recommendation is in line with the survey responses. For the majority of respondents, hiking, walking trails and paths are among the most important amenities that they would like to see more of in Centerville. Inspiration for these paths could be taken from Layton's Wetland Preserve. Preserving this area for citizens to hike, bike and enjoy would make this area an important space that builds on Centerville's identity.




Figure 94 - Wetland Areas

Figure 95 - Wetland Preserve Precedent, Layton Shoreland Preserve

Growth Recommendations

Filling the Gaps

Centerville may erroneously seem completely built out. However, vacant areas and undeveloped parcels provide opportunities for future development—and future park, green space, and trail development as well. Conditions should be placed on new development in order to strengthen parks.

Recommendations for conditions include:

- Pedestrian connections in between lots (see Freedom Hills Recommendations page 66).
- Connections to other parks and schools
- Current recreation amenities
- Space for recreation programming
- Connections to the Bonneville Shoreline Trail, or other urban or parkway trails

The map to the right has some examples of locations that could be looked at for future parks. These areas are explored below:

1. This is an example of a location that would work for a large open space park or preserve. It is adjacent to Farmington Bay, and currently undeveloped. It may also work as a location for a neighborhood park, if development is allowed to occur following the construction of the West Davis Parkway.
2. Area 2 would fill the gap at the north end of Centerville. There is a school just southeast of this area that could connect, and a connection could also be made with the Frontage Parkway that runs to Centerville Community Park.
3. There are quite a few undeveloped lots in this area that would be great locations for a park. They could strengthen the connection with the Bonneville Shoreline Trail, and could provide connections to Freedom Hills Park, and Smoot Park via the Ford Canyon Trail.
4. This is another area that would work well for a large open space preserve, with a great connection to Farmington Bay. A large portion of this area is wetland and should be considered for ecological preservation. Acquisition of land may be a lengthy process, but with a vision and a plan, funding and opportunity can be found to make this a reality.
5. This area is close to the Rockwood Trail Head, and would fill a need for residents who want access to park space. Parks near trails heads are valuable because they provide for a wider user base, and promote use of both trails and parks by proximity.
6. A park in the commercial district would not only fill a gap in park coverage, it would provide space for shoppers to relax, and for professionals to take their lunches. Greenspace in urban environments is shown to help people relax, and promotes health and wellbeing (Bertram 2015). These spaces are most valuable in close proximity to users so they are easily accessed. Even a small park space would be a valuable asset to the commercial district.

Growth Recommendations




Figure 96 - Centerville Future Park Examples

Parrish Creek Park


Park along stream

Centerville City acquired a lot at the corner of Chase Lane and Frontage rd. in 2005, and a conceptual plan was drawn up for a linear park along Parrish Creek (see below).

Linear parks along streams and washes are third highest on the list of the type of park land that residents value most. Many respondents said they would like to see more parks like this in Centerville.

In light of this feedback, priority should be given to implementing this plan. This also gives use to space that is currently sitting vacant and underutilized. It extends the existing Frontage Road Parkway, and gives users more green space along The Frontage Road. This provides a barrier to traffic noise, fast moving cars while encouraging walkability.

Linear parks are also a valuable way to use and protect spaces that run along waterways.




Parrish Creek Park

Park along stream



Figure 98 - Creek Parkway Example, Logan, Utah



Recommendations

Centerville Community Park

The plan for Centerville Community Park has been followed fairly well. There have been updates and changes as the years progressed that follow the needs and changing climate of users. Pickleball is one sport that has had growing interest recently, with more young people engaging in the sport, and the need for courts is growing. Dog friendly options are also in high demand and growing.



Figure 99 - Centerville Community Park Master Development Plan

1. The baseball field at the southwest expansion will instead be an open field for soccer and lacrosse.
2. The north retention pond has been graded up and will contain a futsal court.
3. A small pavilion is planned near the futsal court with picnic tables.
4. Gym stations around the walking trail have been considered, but there is not sufficient resident interest to make this a priority.
5. The south natural wetland area remains, but additional uses are being considered, such as an off-leash dog area. It would have to be fenced, and the Core of Engineers will need to sign off on this idea before moving forward.
6. Tennis courts at the northeast corner of the park will be replaced by pickleball courts instead. There are already new tennis courts down the street at Smoot Park, and more interest in pickleball than tennis in the current climate.

Recommendations

Centerville Community Park



Figure 100 - Community Park Recommendations

7. There is existing lighting infrastructure and may be space for pickleball courts just east of the west parking lot.
8. There was a lot of interest in updating existing playground equipment. This needs to be a priority.
9. There are plans for a smaller playground for younger children and a restroom near the new pavilion at the southwest area of the park.

Legend

	Wetland Area
	Play Fields
	Playgrounds
	Pickleball Courts
	Pavilions

Recommendations

Island View Park

This concept master plan was created in 2017 by MGB+A and will be implemented once funding is acquired. There will be two phases and will provide much needed updates to Island View Park. It addresses accessibility and safety and makes the park more navigable for strollers and those with ADA needs. It retains the terraced design and elements that residents love about Island View, while improving it and making it a park that will draw new users.



Figure 101 - Island View Master Plan



Figure 102 - Island View Phasing Plan

1. Playground - This is a much-needed update, as the existing playground is very dated and in places, hazardous. The new playground will be a multi-tiered playground. The top of the playground is slated to be updated in phase 2, but it may be worth doing the whole playground in phase 1 so that it has continuity and replaces the old equipment.
2. The addition, amenities like the relocated pavilion will be great for this space which is currently underutilized.
3. Deliberate paths along the hill will replace ad-hoc paths and make this more accessible and user friendly.
4. Removing extra parking and giving the hill a more gradual slope allows for a more accessible path, increases safety, and gives more green space. On street parking in addition to the other lots will still provide plenty of parking.
5. The addition of pickleball lines on these tennis courts will give a wider range of users and is recommended.

PLAN ELEMENTS

- (A) PAVILION RESTROOM
- (B) PAVILION
- (C) RELOCATED PAVILION
- (D) EXISTING RESTROOM
- (E) BASKETBALL (84' x 50')
- (F) TENNIS COURTS
- (G) OPEN LAWN
- (H) 3 TIERED PLAY FEATURE WITH IN-GRADE SLIDES
- (I) OPEN LAWN. UPPER LEVEL FACILITATES SOCCER PLAY UP 220'X125'
- (J) PARKING LOT 20-24 STALLS
- (K) 6' WALKING PATH
- (L) 3' SOFT TRAIL

Recommendations

Island View Park



Recommendations

Smoot Park

Smoot Park is an established park with great shade that needs only a little attention. It is loved by residents and has a lot of access points. The playground here is fairly new and is well shaded by the adjacent trees. The potential for Smoot Park lies in the creek that runs through it. Coming from Rick's Canyon, Rick's Creek winds its way through the length of the park, providing ambiance through sound, aesthetics, play opportunity, and the life of a waterway. The steep banks and seasonal swift running and high-water cause safety concerns. A water play area could be developed providing a relatively low maintenance natural water feature that would be one of the highlights of Centerville.



Figure 104 - Waterplay


1. Water play area option A - Rick's Creek that runs through Smoot Park provides the perfect opportunity for a natural water play area. It is already used by many, and some small changes could give it a wider range of users and provide a safer environment. This area is close to the playground. The banks are fairly steep in this location. With these considerations, it makes sense to use this location for the water play area.
2. Water play area option B - This area is already very shallow and could be retained as a natural play area. It could also be a second option for an official water play area if funds are more limited.
3. The addition of a pickleball layout to the tennis courts would be an easy update and welcome for residents who have to travel to adjacent communities to access courts.
4. The border of the swing and volleyball areas are in disrepair and need to be updated or repaired. This is a low cost-fix and could be done easily.



Recommendations

Smoot Park

A ditch somewhere - or a creek, meadow, woodlot, or marsh.... These are places of initiation, where the borders between ourselves and other creatures break down, where the earth gets under our nails and a sense of place gets under our skin.
...Everybody has a ditch, or ought to. For the ditches and the fields, the woods, the ravines - can teach us to care enough for all the land (Pyle, 1993).



Recommendations

Freedom Hills Park

Curtis Tanner Associates prepared the plan for Freedom Hills Park over 10 years ago. The plan has been followed faithfully, with the addition of disc golf - an adjustment that has been very popular. There are a few sport related fields on the Master Plan that have not been implemented. The trailheads to hike in the foothills are also popular, and there are some great views over the valley from the park, especially as you hike up onto the hills.




Figure 106 - Freedom Hills Master Plan

1. Future tennis courts have not been implemented yet. Interest leaned far heavier toward pickleball in the survey results, so adjusting this court to be a pickleball court instead makes sense. Pickleball courts could be installed in area 2 as well.
2. This space is the most visible area of the park, with a natural swale in the background. Consideration should be taken with what users first impressions should be when first viewing the park. With the historical theme of the park, leaving this space as more of a passive area may make more sense.
3. This access point is a great feature of the park and should be a model for other parks in Centerville.
4. One feature residents would like to see is a pump track for cycling. Perhaps there is room to include a pump track on this site.
5. Additional vegetation was another need mentioned from the survey. However, being a fairly new park, many trees are still young and vegetation cover will increase.



Figure 107 - Freedom Hills Park

Recommendations

Freedom Hills Park



Figure 108 - Freedom Hills Recommendations

Recommendations

Porter-Walton Park

Porter-Walton's Master Plan was done by Curtis Tanner Associates and has been followed pretty faithfully. The outdoor reading area (see below) has not been implemented, as well as some of the pavilions on the east side, the bosque of trees, and the perennial planter south of the pavilion. This should be evaluated to see if implementation of these features is in line with resident desires.



Figure 109 - Porter-Walton Master Plan

1. Swings are planned to expand the playground just east of the existing equipment.
2. Implementing the pavilion on the east side would give a focal point and an anchor at the east side of the park, and also allow a base for activities on the open lawn area.
3. An outdoor gym was considered here, but a lack of interest from residents and funding has halted the idea.
4. Implementation of the original reading area would tie this to the adjacent library and be a great addition to the park.
5. Emphasis on trail connections would be valuable.

Recommendations

Porter-Walton Park




Figure 110 - Porter-Walton Recommendations

Recommendations

William R. Smith Park

Curtis Tanner and Associates prepared the plan for Smith Park (formerly Founders Park). Once Centerville can acquire the lot between the park and City Hall, the expansion can be implemented. The museum is the existing Thomas William Whitaker Pioneer Home and Museum. Connecting Smith Park to the Museum will strengthen the historical significance of the park and help emphasize the city's history. Surrounding City Hall, this park becomes a powerful landmark in Centerville City.



Proposed Master Plan Founders Park and City Hall

Figure 111 - William R. Smith Park Master Plan

1. Playground - the playground is fairly new and in good condition. Survey respondents desired an expansion of the playground. The expansion of the park would be a good opportunity to consider a playground improvement or expansion.
2. The plaza behind the museum will be an excellent gathering space for community events, and other passive recreation.
3. Additional parking seems unnecessary, perhaps another use could be found for this space. It would be ideal for pickleball or another small active use area.
4. Acquisition of these lots could provide additional park space in the future. Perhaps purchase can be part of the long term plan.
5. There are many things the city could showcase in this display garden; urban agriculture - promoting self reliance, low water use landscape - promoting conservation, etc.




Figure 112 - Red Butte Low Water Garden

Recommendations

William R. Smith Park




Figure 113 - William R. Smith Park Recommendations


Recommendations

Commons Pocket Park

The Commons Pocket Park is underutilized. Its location makes sense for a specialty park. There were enough respondents in the survey vying for a dog park to guide this recommendation. There is adequate parking surrounding the park, and it is already about half fenced. One main concern would be dog waste mixing with the detention basin. The land may need to be adjusted to avoid any issues, but there should be plenty of space for a small dog park.



1. Existing fenced area. This will need to be examined to make sure it is secure for a boundary.
2. Complete the fence.
3. Install a double gate, this prevents escapes, and provides an area to remove and replace a leash.
4. Addition of benches for owners while their dogs run.
5. Keep walkway outside of park to allow through access between 150 West and Centerville Commons Way.



Conclusion

Final Thoughts

Centerville is in a prime location along the Wasatch Front. As seen throughout this document, Centerville has some amazing parks with substantial character. The community, city council and staff are passionate about their city, and as they unify their vision, they can accomplish great things.

There have been some large ideas presented in this document that may take some time to gain traction and bring them into fruition. All that is needed for these changes to occur is excitement and vision. Funding can be found, but the passion is the driving force.

There are countless innovative ideas that many municipalities are adopting regarding their parks. For example, new play equipment and water interaction. These are great steps, however fundamentally residents want and need space. They need trails, places to inspire them, places to be. This need is echoed in the survey responses and isn't much different from years past. It should stand as a basic tenet moving forward, keeping in mind that as ways are created for people to interact with the outdoors it instills within them a love and bond with the outdoors, forging a lasting connection.

Special thanks to Bruce and Lynn, who helped make this project possible, to Ole and Dave, whose guidance was invaluable, and to my family who supported me throughout.



Figure 116 - Goosenecks, National Park

Appendix

List of Tables

Table 1	Growth Projections	Pg	13
Table 2	Existing Parks and Open Space	Pg	14
Table 3	Envisioned Projects	Pg	15
Table 4	Number of Residents Within Each Park Buffer Area	Pg	36
Table 5	Number of Residents Within Each School Buffer Area	Pg	38
Table 6	Walkability	Pg	40
Table 7	Survey Respondents	Pg	48
Table 8	Centerville	Pg	48
Table 9	Davis County	Pg	48
Table 10	State of Utah	Pg	48
Table 11	Higher Education BS/BA+	Pg	49
Table 12	Median Income	Pg	49
Table 13	Median Home Price	Pg	49
Table 14	Median Rent	Pg	49
Table 15	Housing Status	Pg	49
Table 16	Respondent Housing Status	Pg	49
Table 17	Which Centerville Parks do you Visit and how Often?	Pg	50
Table 18	Outside of Centerville	Pg	51
Table 19	Which Parks?	Pg	51
Table 20	Why Parks Outside of Centerville?	Pg	51
Table 21	Why Do You Use Centerville Parks?	Pg	52
Table 22	Why Do You Use Centerville Parks Totals	Pg	52
Table 23	Travel	Pg	53
Table 24	When Visiting Centerville Parks, how do you Travel There?	Pg	53
Table 25	What Activities do you or your Family Participate In?	Pg	54
Table 26	What does Centerville need More of?	Pg	56
Table 27	Other Needs	Pg	56
Table 28	What Type of Park Land do you Value Most for Centerville?	Pg	57
Table 29	Total Highest Priority	Pg	57
Table 30	First Priority	Pg	57
Table 31	Highest Priority	Pg	58
Table 32	Medium Priority	Pg	58
Table 33	Not a Priority	Pg	59
Table 34	Rap Tax Bond	Pg	60
Table 35	Aggregated Comments	Pg	60
Table 36	Rap Tax Bond	Pg	60

Appendix

Table 37	Aggregated Comments	Pg	60
Table 38	Long Term Action Plan	Pg	59
Table 39	Short Term Action Plan	Pg	59
Table 40	Immediate Action Plan	Pg	60

List of Figures

Figure 1	Community Park Playground *	Pg	i
Figure 2	Centerville Community Park, Drones Eye View Looking East	Pg	ii
Figure 3	Bookshelf, Common Studio at Utah State University	Pg	1
Figure 4	Overlooking Centerville from Freedom Hills Park	Pg	2
Figure 5	The Wasatch Front, Logan Canyon	Pg	3
Figure 6	Overlooking the Salt Lake Valley	Pg	3
Figure 7	Shoshone Falls, Idaho, photo credit Karen Stead 2017	Pg	4
Figure 8	St Paul's Churchyard, New York	Pg	5
Figure 9	Central Park, New York - en.wikipedia.org	Pg	5
Figure 10	Osymn Merrit Deuel (Lemperle, 2019)	Pg	6
Figure 11	Davis County Library	Pg	7
Figure 12	Pickleball Courts at Bridger Park in Logan Utah	Pg	7
Figure 13	Stairs at Island View	Pg	8
Figure 14	Carving at Community Park	Pg	8
Figure 15	Splash Pad	Pg	9
Figure 16	Yoga	Pg	9
Figure 17	Sunset over the Oquirrh Mountain Range	Pg	10
Figure 18	Centerville Community Park Playground	pg	12
Figure 19	Family Sketch	pg	13
Figure 20	Park and Open Space Locations	pg	14
Figure 21	Park Comparison	Pg	16
Figure 22	Mapping Sites with Drone Technology - Google Images	Pg	17
Figure 23	Bear Carving	Pg	18
Figure 24	Sport Field	Pg	18
Figure 25	Community Park Playground	Pg	18
Figure 26	Playground Issue	Pg	18
Figure 27	BBQ Stations	Pg	18
Figure 28	Fitness Path Signage	Pg	18

*Image credit Paul Stead unless otherwise noted

Appendix

List of Figures

Figure 29	Lending Library	Pg	18
Figure 30	Dumpster Sign	Pg	18
Figure 31	Volleyball Court	Pg	18
Figure 32	Community Park Analysis	Pg	19
Figure 33	View West	Pg	20
Figure 34	Island View Sign	Pg	20
Figure 35	Island View Playground	Pg	20
Figure 36	Top Terrace Field	Pg	20
Figure 37	Intimate Space	Pg	20
Figure 38	Island View Stairs	Pg	20
Figure 39	Ad Hoc Stairs	Pg	20
Figure 40	View of Valley	Pg	20
Figure 41	Island View Park Analysis	Pg	21
Figure 42	Worn Surfacing	Pg	22
Figure 43	Ricks Creek Grate	Pg	22
Figure 44	Wood Border	Pg	22
Figure 45	Swings	Pg	22
Figure 46	Faded Sign	Pg	22
Figure 47	Ricks Creek	Pg	22
Figure 48	Smoot Playground	Pg	22
Figure 49	Smoot Park Analysis	Pg	23
Figure 50	Beautiful Smoot Park	Pg	23
Figure 51	Entry to Freedom Hills Park	Pg	24
Figure 52	Memorial	Pg	24
Figure 53	Disc Golf	Pg	24
Figure 54	Trail Head	Pg	24
Figure 55	Paved Paths	Pg	24
Figure 56	Horse Trail	Pg	24
Figure 57	View from Trail	Pg	24
Figure 58	Natural Swale	Pg	24
Figure 59	Freedom Hills Playground	Pg	24
Figure 60	Freedom Hills Park Analysis	Pg	25
Figure 61	Library Sidewalk	Pg	26
Figure 62	Bamberger Trail Connection	Pg	26
Figure 63	Porter-Walton Playground	Pg	26
Figure 64	Porter-Walton Faded Signs	Pg	26
Figure 65	Porter-Walton BBQ Area	Pg	26
Figure 66	Davis County Library	Pg	26

Appendix

List of Figures

Figure 67	Porter-Walton Park Sign	Pg	26
Figure 68	Basketball Courts	Pg	26
Figure 69	Swingset Area	Pg	26
Figure 70	Porter-Walton Analysis	Pg	27
Figure 71	Pioneer Monument	Pg	28
Figure 72	Bench Under Tree	Pg	28
Figure 73	Smith Playground	Pg	28
Figure 74	Smith Pavilion	Pg	28
Figure 75	Bus Stop	Pg	28
Figure 76	Smith Park Analysis	Pg	29
Figure 77	Smith Park Sign	Pg	29
Figure 78	Water Detention Area	Pg	30
Figure 79	North Sidewalk	Pg	30
Figure 80	Barrier	Pg	30
Figure 81	Commons Pocket Park Analysis	Pg	31
Figure 82	Existing Amenities	Pg	33
Figure 83	Pioneer Monument at Smith Park	Pg	34
Figure 84	Traveling to a Park	Pg	35
Figure 85	Centerville Park Buffers	Pg	37
Figure 86	Centerville School Buffers	Pg	39
Figure 87	Gap Analysis Map	Pg	41
Figure 88	Centerville City Hall (centervilleut.net)	Pg	42
Figure 89	Piles of Paper Surveys (illustrative)	Pg	43
Figure 90	Demographic Areas	Pg	46
Figure 91	Centerville Parks Department Meeting	Pg	58
Figure 92	Centerville Zoning Map	Pg	61
Figure 93	Agriculture-Low Areas	Pg	62
Figure 94	Wetland Areas	Pg	63
Figure 95	Wetland Preserve Precedent, Layton Shoreland Preserve	Pg	63
Figure 96	Centerville Future Park Examples	Pg	65
Figure 97	Parrish Creek Parkway Conceptual Plan	Pg	66
Figure 98	Creek Parkway Example, Logan, Utah	Pg	67
Figure 99	Centerville Community Park Master Development Plan	Pg	68
Figure 100	Centerville Community Park Recommendations	Pg	69
Figure 101	Island View Master Plan	Pg	70
Figure 102	Island View Phasing Plan	Pg	70
Figure 103	Island View Park Recommendations	Pg	71
Figure 104	Waterplay, photo credit David Stead	Pg	72

Appendix

List of Figures

Figure 105	Smoot Park Recommendations	Pg	73
Figure 106	Freedom Hills Master Plan	Pg	74
Figure 107	Freedom Hills Park	Pg	74
Figure 108	Freedom Hills Recommendations	Pg	75
Figure 109	Porter-Walton Master Plan	Pg	76
Figure 110	Porter-Walton Recommendations	Pg	77
Figure 111	William R. Smith Park Master Plan	Pg	78
Figure 112	Red Butte Low Water Garden	Pg	78
Figure 113	William R. Smith Park Recommendations	Pg	79
Figure 114	Commons Pocket Park Recommendations	Pg	80
Figure 115	Dog Gate http://srwestend.com/2013/06/june-dog-friendly/		80
Figure 116	Goosenecks, National Park		81

Appendix

Centerville Survey | Online



Centerville City Parks Survey

Q1. Which Centerville parks do you visit, and how often?

Parks	Never	Rarely	Monthly	Weekly	Daily
Centerville Community	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Island View	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Porter-Walton	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Freedom Hills	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Smoot	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
William R Smith (Founders)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Pocket Park	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q2. If you answered never to all above parks, please explain:

Q3 Do you use any parks outside of Centerville and why?

- ☐ Yes (please specify) _____
- ☐ No

Q4. Why do you use Centerville's parks? (select all that apply)

	Community Park	Island View	Porter-Walton	Freedom Hills	Smoot	William R. Smith
Close to home	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Relaxation/atmosphere	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Playground	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Picnic facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Sport facilities/fields/courts	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Jogging/running	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q5. When you visit Centerville parks, how do you travel there? (select all that apply)

	Community Park	Island View	Porter- Walton	Freedom Hills	Smoot	William R. Smith
Walk	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Transit (UTA)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Drive	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Appendix

Centerville Survey | Online

Q6. What activities do you or your family actively participate in? (select all that apply)

	Never	Occasionally	Sometimes	Often
Walking /walking a pet	☐	☐	☐	☐
Jogging/running	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hiking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Road Biking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mountain biking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Horseback riding	☐	☐	☐	☐
Playgrounds	☐	☐	☐	☐
Picnic/pavilions	☐	☐	☐	☐
Baseball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Basketball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Softball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Pickleball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tennis	☐	☐	☐	☐
Soccer	☐	☐	☐	☐
Track and Field	☐	☐	☐	☐
Football	☐	☐	☐	☐
Lacrosse	☐	☐	☐	☐
Volleyball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Frisbee	☐	☐	☐	☐
Swimming	☐	☐	☐	☐
Wildlife/birdwatching	☐	☐	☐	☐
Skateboarding/Scooters/Rollerblading	☐	☐	☐	☐
BMX	☐	☐	☐	☐
ATV	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hunting/shooting	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q7. Which of the following does Centerville need more of? (Please indicate whether you feel that each item should NOT be a priority, should be given a LOW priority, MEDIUM priority or HIGH priority)

	Not	Low	Medium	High
Organized athletic/Sporting events	☐	☐	☐	☐
Children's activities	☐	☐	☐	☐
Yoga/meditation	☐	☐	☐	☐
Outdoor markets	☐	☐	☐	☐
Arts/Crafts	☐	☐	☐	☐
Educational opportunities	☐	☐	☐	☐
Passive recreation opportunities	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bird watching/Wildlife observation	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐

Appendix

Centerville Survey | Online

Q8. What type of park land do you value most for Centerville? (arrange in order of importance, from 8 being low priority, and 1 being your highest priority)

- _____ Neighborhood park (Island View, Porter-Walton, Freedom Hills, Smoot, William R Smith/Founders Park)
_____ Community park, multi-use (Centerville Community Park)
_____ Large open-space preserves
_____ Linear parks along streams/washes
_____ Park land for sports fields
_____ Mini parks (Pocket parks/specialty parks/dog parks/etc)
_____ Community garden
_____ Other _____

Q9. What additional facilities does Centerville need? (Please indicate whether you feel that each item should NOT be a priority, should be given a LOW priority, MEDIUM priority or HIGH priority)

	Not	Low	Medium	High
1. Nature Trails/Native Landscapes	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Fishing pond	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Golf/Driving range	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Skateboard park	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Zipline	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Benches	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Picnic shelters/Pavilions	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. Drinking fountains	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. Outdoor gym/fitness equipment	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. Additional Parks	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. Recreation Center	☐	☐	☐	☐
12. Dog park/areas	☐	☐	☐	☐
13. Pool	☐	☐	☐	☐
14. Splash pad/Waterplay areas	☐	☐	☐	☐
15. Basketball courts	☐	☐	☐	☐
16. Baseball fields	☐	☐	☐	☐
17. Pickleball court	☐	☐	☐	☐
18. Tennis courts	☐	☐	☐	☐
19. Football /Soccer fields	☐	☐	☐	☐
20. Volleyball courts	☐	☐	☐	☐
21. Walking/Hiking trails	☐	☐	☐	☐
22. Cemeteries	☐	☐	☐	☐
23. Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q10. From your answers above (Q10), which high priority items are MOST important to you? (arrange in order of importance, highest priority at the top)

- _____ Most Important
_____ Second Most Important
_____ Third Most Important

Appendix

Centerville Survey | Online

Q11. Please select what specific park improvements are needed at each Centerville park. (Please indicate by entering a value, 1-4; whether you feel that each improvement should (4) NOT be a priority, (3) should be given a LOW priority, (2) MEDIUM priority, or (1) HIGH priority)


	Centerville Park	Island View	Porter-Walton	Freedom Hills	Smoot	William Smith
Improved Playground Equipment						
Improved Sports Field/Courts						
Maintenance/Cleanliness						
Additional Trees/Vegetation						
Enhanced Lighting/Safety						
Additional Picnic facilities/ Pavilions						
Better ADA/Access						
Additional Restrooms						
Additional Parking						
Additional Trails						
Additional Shade						
No Improvements needed						
Other _____						

Q12. Centerville recently passed a RAP tax to help pay for park improvements. Would you support:

- ☐ Implementing a bond measure to complete park improvements in the next 2-3 years and use RAP Tax Revenue to pay off the bond.
- ☐ Don't bond and use RAP Tax revenues as they come in to complete park improvements in 7+ years.
- ☐ Not sure

Q13. Which area do you currently reside?

- ☐ Area 1
- ☐ Area 2
- ☐ Area 3
- ☐ Area 4



Appendix

Centerville Survey | Online

Q14. Reason for living in Centerville? (select all that apply)

- ☐ Proximity to Salt Lake/Convenience for commuting
- ☐ Quiet Community/Safe environment
- ☐ Small Town Atmosphere/Quality of life
- ☐ Recreation amenities
- ☐ Other _____

Q15. What is your age?

- ☐ Under 18 (please specify) _____
- ☐ 18 - 24
- ☐ 25 - 34
- ☐ 35 - 44
- ☐ 45 - 54
- ☐ 55 - 64
- ☐ 65 - 74
- ☐ 75 or older

Q16. Do you have children living at home under 18 years of age? (select all that apply)

- ☐ None
- ☐ 0-5 years
- ☐ 6-12 years
- ☐ 13-18 years

Q17. What is your gender?

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Q18. Do you own or rent your primary home?

- ☐ Rent
- ☐ Own
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Q19. What is your income?

- ☐ \$0 - \$35,000
- ☐ \$36,000 - \$75,000
- ☐ \$76,000 - \$100,000
- ☐ \$100,000+
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Q20. Additional comments?

- ☐ Yes: _____
- ☐ None



Centerville City Parks Survey

Q1. Which Centerville parks do you visit, and how often?

Parks	Never	Rarely	Monthly	Weekly	Daily
Centerville Community	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Island View	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Porter-Walton	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Freedom Hills	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Smoot	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
William R Smith (Founders)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Pocket Park	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q2. If you answered never to all above parks, please explain:

Q3 Do you use any parks outside of Centerville and why?

☐ Yes (please specify) _____

☐ No _____

Q4. Why do you use Centerville's parks? (select all that apply)

	Centerville Community	Island View	Porter-Walton	Freedom Hills	Smoot	William R. Smith
Close to home	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Relaxation/atmosphere	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Playground	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Picnic facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Sport facilities/fields/courts	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Jogging/running	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q5. When you visit Centerville parks, how do you travel there? (select all that apply)

	Centerville Community	Island View	Porter-Walton	Freedom Hills	Smoot	William R. Smith
Walk	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Transit (UTA)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Drive	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q6. What activities do you or your family actively participate in? (select all that apply)

	Never	Occasionally	Sometimes	Often
Walking /walking a pet	☐	☐	☐	☐
Jogging/running	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hiking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Road Biking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Mountain biking	☐	☐	☐	☐
Horseback riding	☐	☐	☐	☐
Playgrounds	☐	☐	☐	☐
Picnic/pavilions	☐	☐	☐	☐
Baseball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Basketball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Softball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Pickleball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tennis	☐	☐	☐	☐
Soccer	☐	☐	☐	☐
Track and Field	☐	☐	☐	☐
Football	☐	☐	☐	☐
Lacrosse	☐	☐	☐	☐
Volleyball	☐	☐	☐	☐
Frisbee	☐	☐	☐	☐
Swimming	☐	☐	☐	☐
Wildlife/birdwatching	☐	☐	☐	☐
Skateboarding/Scooters/Rollerblading	☐	☐	☐	☐
BMX	☐	☐	☐	☐
ATV	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hunting/shooting	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐

Appendix

Centerville Survey | Mailer



CENTERVILLE CITY CORPORATION
250 North Main Street
Centerville, Utah 84014

PRESORTED
STANDARD
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
CENTERVILLE, UT
PERMIT NO. 18

POSTAL CUSTOMER
CENTERVILLE, UTAH 84014

Q16. What is your age?
☐ Under 18 (please specify) _____
☐ 18 - 24
☐ 25 - 34
☐ 35 - 44
☐ 45 - 54
☐ 55 - 64
☐ 65 - 74
☐ 75 or older

Q17. Do you have children living at home under 18 years of age? (select all that apply)
☐ None
☐ 0-5 years
☐ 6-12 years
☐ 13-18 years

Q18. What is your gender?
☐ Male
☐ Female
☐ Prefer not to answer

Q19. Do you own or rent your primary home?
☐ Rent
☐ Own
☐ Prefer not to answer

Q20. What is your income?
☐ \$0 - \$35,000
☐ \$36,000 - \$75,000
☐ \$76,000 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,000+
☐ Prefer not to answer

Q21. Additional comments?
☐ Yes: _____
☐ None

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey

#12



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

SURVEY OVERVIEW

In December of 2007, the City of Centerville, Utah contracted with SDS Research to input data from a mailed customer survey, analyze the data, and create a summary report of findings. A total of 663 Centerville residents returned a completed survey, and all responses received by SDS are included in these results.

Report Format

Following this introduction is a summary of the survey findings, including mean score results for all general areas included in the survey — Overall Centerville Characteristics, Planning and Community Development, Parks, Recreation & Trails, Police, Courts & Public Works, Recycling, and Transportation.

Following the summary is a question-by-question listing of results, including a pie chart and frequency table for each question. The pie chart includes percentages of responses for each possible answer for each question, and whole percentages are represented as whole numbers. For example, 5.0% is shown as 5. In addition, due to a small number of responses, some categories within questions do not have a label in the pie chart. All percentage and count numbers can be found in each frequency table.

The frequency tables are arranged in four columns, and a description of each column follows:

Frequency is the number of times that response was selected by the survey participants. **Percent** tracks the total number of respondents, regardless of whether they answered the question. **Valid Percent** is a percentage calculated on the number who actually answered the particular question, and is the column to which close attention should be paid. Each bar graph is a representation of the valid percent number. **Cumulative Percent** is a running percentage, which is useful when determining the Positive Index (highest choices, i.e. *Excellent* and *Good* combined). If a respondent chose not to answer the question, did not have a response, or did not complete the survey, that response appears in the **Missing** row located near the bottom of the table. Any Missing row marked **System**, marked with a number indicates the number of respondents who did not answer that particular question.

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Summary of Findings

The most common reasons cited for living in Centerville are (Question 1) are *Safe Environment* (11%), *Small Town Atmosphere* (11%), *Quiet Community* (10%), *Convenient Location* (9%), and *Proximity to Salt Lake City* (9%).

More than 40% of respondents have lived in Centerville for *Over 20 Years*, while 20% have lived in Centerville *0-5 years*. The mean average age of respondents is 53 years, with 68% of respondents between 37 and 69 years old.

The following tables show the mean scores by question, for all questions in the survey that include more than one variable. Mean scores are listed from highest to lowest, meaning that the first variable listed was the highest (most positively) rated variable of those in the table, while the last variable listed was the lowest rated. The "N" column indicates the number of respondents that answered each question. If a respondent did not answer a question, or selected "No Opinion", there response is not calculated in the total.

Q2. To what degree, if at all, are the following problems in Centerville?

1 = Not a problem | 2 = Minor Problem | 3 = Moderate Problem | 4 = Major Problem | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
2h. Traffic congestion	622	3.05
2g. Too much growth	607	2.97
2f. Taxes	601	2.58
2b. Drugs	541	2.25
2e. Run down buildings, weed lots, or junk vehicles	601	2.11
2a. Crime	568	2.10
2i. Unsupervised youth	549	1.82
2c. Graffiti	551	1.52
2d. Homelessness	529	1.29

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q3. In the last 12 months, how many times has someone in your household participated in the following?

1 = Never | 2 = Once or twice | 3 = 3 - 10 times | 4 = More than 10 times

	N	Mean
3d. Read the Centerville City Newsletter	654	3.31
3g. Visited a Centerville City park	652	2.93
3e. Used the Centerville branch of the library	650	2.89
3f. Used the South Davis Recreation Center	650	2.25
3h. Used City trails	641	2.08
3a. Attended a play at Rodgers Memorial Theater	651	2.03
3b. Browsed the City Website (www.centervilleut.net)	648	1.80
3c. Participated in a Centerville City recreation program	648	1.58

Q4. Please rate your perception or experience with the following City Officials or local services:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
4a. Ambulance/Paramedics/Emergency Medical	343	3.50
4j. South Davis Metro Fire District service	331	3.40
4e. City Newsletter	600	3.36
4k. South Davis Recreation Center	436	3.29
4c. City Hall personnel	475	3.19
4h. Mayor	411	3.10
4d. City Manager	358	3.01
4f. City Website	323	2.90
4g. Justice Court	179	2.89
4b. City Council	430	2.76
4i. Mosquito abatement	491	2.76

Q5. Please rate each of the following for Centerville as a whole:

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
5n. Place to raise children	624	3.60
5m. Place to live	644	3.50
5a. Access to affordable food	638	3.36
5h. Educational opportunities and quality of schools	553	3.33
5d. Centerville's overall reputation or image	634	3.31
5o. Place to retire	603	3.25
5r. Sense of community	614	3.12
5c. Accessibility of local officials and employees	493	3.09
5g. Ease of walking within Centerville	612	3.08
5s. Shopping opportunities	636	3.05
5k. Overall appearance	639	3.04
5q. Recreational opportunities	588	2.89
5j. Opportunities to attend cultural events	576	2.79
5l. Overall quality of new development within Centerville	613	2.75
5f. Ease of car travel within Centerville	640	2.74
5t. Value in relation to taxes paid	603	2.71
5b. Access to affordable quality housing	601	2.67
5p. Place to work	420	2.66
5e. Ease of bicycle travel within Centerville	451	2.40
5i. Job opportunities	410	2.23

Q6. Below is a list of services which are or may be funded by taxes or fees. Please check all, if any, of the following for which you would be willing to pay more taxes if you knew that the money would be spent only for that purpose.

1 = No | 2 = Yes

	N	Mean
6c. Hillside preservation	658	1.37
6r. Light rail and/or Bus Rapid Transit	658	1.35
6i. Improve flood control (storm drain and subsurface water)	658	1.30
6t. Open space acquisition	658	1.26
6m. Improve streets	658	1.24
6l. Improve street lighting	658	1.23
6w. Sitting areas and/or plazas	658	1.21
6q. Increase law enforcement	658	1.20
6d. Housing subsidy for the elderly	658	1.19
6x. Wetlands preservation	658	1.19
6n. Improve water system	658	1.18
6v. Sidewalk beautification	658	1.18
6k. Improve snow removal	658	1.17
6s. More convenient bus service	658	1.16
6u. Restoration of historical buildings	658	1.14
6p. Increase fire protection	658	1.13
6o. Increase emergency medical service	658	1.13
6a. City celebration on other holidays in addition to July 4th	655	1.10
6e. Housing subsidy for young families	658	1.09
6f. Improve Christmas street decorations	658	1.08
6b. Fund an economic development program	658	1.08
6j. Improve July 4th celebration	658	1.06
6h. Improve City Website	658	1.05
6g. Improve City Newsletter	658	1.03

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q8. Please rate the following Community Development Department services using the following scale:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
8b. Business licensing including home businesses	185	2.65
8c. Economic development	349	2.47
8a. Building permits and inspections	261	2.35
8d. Ordinance and code enforcement	327	2.31
8e. Planning and Zoning Commission	365	2.15
8f. Property planning and zoning	378	2.10

Q9. With the coming of the Legacy Parkway interchange at Parrish Lane, property west of the freeway will likely be developed in the near future. What types of development would you prefer to see west of I-15?

1 = Do Not Want | 2 = OK if space | 3 = Desired | 4 = Most Desired | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
9d. Parks and Open Space	563	3.05
9c. Offices (professional, medical, general)	562	2.66
9e. Retail and Commercial (sales, banking, restaurants, etc.)	577	2.49
9b. Industrial (manufacturers, laboratories, etc.)	561	2.08
9g. Warehousing	536	1.91
9a. Hotels / Motels	555	1.89
9f. Travel center (fuel, lodging, etc.)	549	1.87

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q14. Some residential properties in the city are becoming less desirable for use as homes because they are close to increasingly busy commercial areas and intersections. Please rate the following possible solutions.

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
14c. Continue with such properties as single-family homes	527	2.78
14d. Create new special zone allowing restrictive commercial uses	515	2.50
14a. Allow properties greater opportunities for owner-occupied businesses	520	2.44
14e. Expand existing light commercial zone into these areas	511	1.95
14b. Allow properties to convert to some form of higher density housing	534	1.63

Q15. Utah State law requires all cities to analyze the availability of housing for low/moderate income persons. Please rate the following options the City could consider to increase the availability of such housing:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
15c. Allow Mother-in-law / basement apartments (currently disallowed)	608	2.86
15d. Twin homes, duplexes or triplexes	601	2.41
15a. Higher density housing allowed in targeted areas in the city	587	2.03
15b. Increase number of apartment complexes	589	1.49
15e. Use tax dollars to fund a city housing authority to subsidize rents	563	1.37

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q17. Please rate these categories using the following scale:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
17b. Care of cemetery grounds	529	3.42
17a. Accessibility of parks	633	3.41
17d. Care of public buildings and grounds	627	3.38
17c. Care of park grounds	633	3.29
17e. Recreation programs	513	3.14
17f. Satisfaction with existing trails and pathways in Centerville	549	2.99

Q18. Please rate your household's use of the following park amenities.

1 = Never Use | 2 = Minimal Use | 3 = Secondary Use | 4 = Primary Use

	N	Mean
18f. Walking or running paths	658	2.90
18f. Grass / Open areas	658	2.76
18h. Playground	658	2.63
18g. Pavilions	658	2.50
18i. Soccer fields	658	2.05
18d. Bicycling paths	658	2.05
18b. Baseball fields	658	1.80
18j. Tennis courts	658	1.80
18c. Basketball / Sport Court	658	1.68
18e. Football fields	658	1.68
18k. Volleyball courts	658	1.61
18a. Barbeque grills	658	1.42

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q19. Please rate the following potential improvements/additions:

1 = Not Important | 2 = Somewhat Important | 3 = Important | 4 = Very Important | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
19a. Additional cemetery land	514	2.77
19c. Widening Frontage Road for bike lanes	587	2.76
19l. Replacing old play structures	561	2.64
19k. Parking lot lights at Community Park	564	2.42
19b. Additional parking at Community Park	549	2.19
19c. Additional picnic pavilions	566	2.02
19j. Outdoor basketball courts	545	1.91
19d. Additional soccer / football fields	511	1.89
19n. Water playground	572	1.88
19f. Ball field lights	511	1.88
19e. Additional tennis courts	513	1.78
19g. Fishing pond	539	1.77
19i. Golf driving range	552	1.72
19m. Skateboard park	557	1.68
19h. Frisbee golf course	527	1.56

Q24. Please rate your household's trail usage.

1 = Never Use | 2 = Occasional Use | 3 = Regular Use

	N	Mean
24h. Walking / Walking a Pet	658	2.32
24b. Hiking	658	1.91
24f. Running	658	1.66
24e. Road Biking	658	1.51
24d. Mountain Biking	658	1.40
24a. ATV / Off-Road Vehicle Use	658	1.33
24g. Scooters / Skateboards	658	1.27
24c. Horseback Riding	658	1.09

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q26. Please rate the following questions using the following scale:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
26c. Safety during the day	589	3.35
26b. Police at community and school/educational functions	461	3.31
26d. Safety during the night	588	3.23
26a. General police services	578	3.22
26e. Traffic and speed enforcement	586	3.04

Q27. Please rate any experiences with the Centerville Court System:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Experience

	N	Mean
27a. Fair and respectful treatment by the Judge	139	3.01
27d. Timely and courteous service at the Justice Court window	138	2.97
27c. Telephone response and questions answered satisfactorily	111	2.86
27b. How would you rate your overall court experience?	132	2.75

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q28. Please rate these services using the following scale:

1 = Poor | 2 = Fair | 3 = Good | 4 = Excellent | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
28c. Sewer services	608	3.41
28j. Trash collection	623	3.39
28b. Irrigation water service	581	3.34
28a. Drinking water quality and service	632	3.15
28e. Snow removal	625	3.01
28f. Storm drainage and flood control	573	2.97
28i. Subsurface water control and management	459	2.90
28d. Sidewalk maintenance and repair	610	2.71
28g. Street lighting	628	2.70
28h. Street maintenance and repair	630	2.67

Q31. Centerville is currently considering offering curbside recycling services. The cost of the service depends on the number of residents that participate and whether the service is a voluntary service, or a non-voluntary, city-wide service. Please rate your support for the following service options:

1 = Definitely Not | 2 = Likely Not | 3 = Likely | 4 = Definitely | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
31a. Non-Voluntary Recycling Program -- Monthly service fee of \$3 or less	578	2.74
31c. Voluntary Recycling Program -- Monthly service fee of \$5 or less	569	2.63
31b. Non-Voluntary Recycling Program -- Monthly service fee of \$5 or less	557	2.33
31d. Voluntary Recycling Program -- Monthly service fee of \$8 or less	549	2.02

Appendix

2007 Centerville Survey



CENTERVILLE CITY
RESIDENT SURVEY
JANUARY, 2008

Q35. How often does your household use the following modes of transportation?

1 = Never | 2 = Occasionally | 3 = Regularly

	N	Mean
35c. Motorized vehicle (car, truck, motorcycle, etc.)	658	2.93
35a. Walk	658	2.50
35b. Bicycle or other self-powered	658	1.76
35d. Motorized vehicle - Non-Family Carpool	658	1.57
35e. Public transportation (bus, rail, etc.)	658	1.50

Q38. Please rate the following transportation issues specific to Centerville that are of most concern to you:

1 = Not Important | 2 = Somewhat Important | 3 = Important | 4 = Very Important | 5 = No Opinion

	N	Mean
38f. Local traffic congestion	610	3.36
38e. Adequate pedestrian or school crossings	600	3.32
38d. Efficient traffic signalization	587	3.18
38c. Dangerous intersections (indicate where in open section at end)	472	2.82
38g. Mass transit	594	2.74
38h. Traffic calming devices	461	2.47
38a. Bus stops - benches	560	2.17
38b. Bus stops - covered shelters	563	2.13

References

- About – Centerville City. (n.d.). Retrieved April 20, 2019, from
<https://centervilleut.net/government/about/>
- Bertram, C., & Rehdanz, K. (2015). The role of urban green space for human well-being. *Ecological Economics*, 120, 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.10.013>
- Boston’s Emerald Necklace - FrederickLawOlmsted.com. (n.d.). Retrieved May 1, 2019, from
<http://www.fredericklawolmsted.com/boston.html>
- City of Draper Parks, Recreation and Trails Master Plan. (2008, August 5).
- Clark, S., Bungum, T., Shan, G., Meacham, M., & Coker, L. (2014). The effect of a trail use intervention on urban trail use in Southern Nevada. *Preventive Medicine*, 67, S17–S20.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.04.027>
- Cohen, D. A., Han, B., Derose, K. P., Williamson, S., Marsh, T., & McKenzie, T. L. (2013). Physical Activity in Parks: A Randomized Controlled Trial Using Community Engagement. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 45(5), 590–597.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2013.06.015>
- Cohen, D. A., Han, B., Nagel, C. J., Harnik, P., McKenzie, T. L., Evenson, K. R., ... Katta, S. (2016). The First National Study of Neighborhood Parks: Implications for Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 51(4), 419–426.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.03.021>
- Cohen, D. A., Marsh, T., Williamson, S., Derose, K. P., Martinez, H., Setodji, C., & McKenzie, T. L. (2010). Parks and physical activity: Why are some parks used more than others? *Preventive Medicine*, 50, S9–S12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2009.08.020>
- de Vries, S., Verheij, R. A., Groenewegen, P. P., & Spreeuwenberg, P. (2003). Natural Environments—Healthy Environments? An Exploratory Analysis of the Relationship

References

- between Greenspace and Health. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 35(10), 1717–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a35111>
- Dolesh, R. (2018). Top Trends in Parks and Recreation for 2018 | Open Space | National Recreation and Park Association. Retrieved April 19, 2019, from <https://www.nrpa.org/blog/top-trends-in-parks-and-recreation-for-2018/>
- Fitzhugh, E. C., Bassett, D. R., & Evans, M. F. (2010). Urban Trails and Physical Activity: A Natural Experiment. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 39(3), 259–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.05.010>
- Greenfield, R. (2011, March 16). Our First Public Parks: The Forgotten History of Cemeteries. Retrieved April 9, 2019, from The Atlantic website: <https://www.theatlantic.com/national/archive/2011/03/our-first-public-parks-the-forgotten-history-of-cemeteries/71818/>
- Kendall, J. (2018, October 24). Remembering When Americans Picnicked in Cemeteries. Retrieved April 9, 2019, from Atlas Obscura website: <http://www.atlasobscura.com/articles/picnic-in-cemeteries-america>
- Lemperle, K. (2019, April 19). Early Pioneers of the Deuel Settlement (Centerville, Utah) | Intermountain Histories. Retrieved April 19, 2019, from Early Pioneers of the Deuel Settlement (Centerville, Utah) website: <https://www.intermountainhistories.org/items/show/12>
- Logan Utah Comprehensive Parks, Trails, Recreation, and Open Space Plan. (2015). 98.
- Manson, S., Schroeder, J., Riper, D. V., & Ruggles, S. (2018). Citation and Use of NHGIS Data [Text]. Retrieved April 9, 2019, from IPUMS NHGIS website: <https://www.nhgis.org/research/citation>

References

- Manzo, L. C., & Perkins, D. D. (2006). Finding Common Ground: The Importance of Place Attachment to Community Participation and Planning. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 20(4), 335–350. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412205286160>
- Olmsted–Designed Parks : NYC Parks. (n.d.). Retrieved May 1, 2019, from <https://www.nycgovparks.org/about/history/olmsted-parks>
- Panter, J., Heinen, E., Mackett, R., & Ogilvie, D. (2016). Impact of New Transport Infrastructure on Walking, Cycling, and Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 50(2), e45–e53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.09.021>
- Parks and Recreation Masterplan. (2015, March). Retrieved May 6, 2019, from Washington City Utah website: <https://washingtoncity.org/recreation/parksrecmasterplan>
- Parks Master Plan. (2013, March 13). Retrieved May 6, 2019, from The City of Rio Vista website: <http://riovistacity.com/parks-master-plan/>
- Payson City Parks, Trails, and Open Space. (2007).
- Real Estate Overview for Centerville, UT - Trulia. (n.d.). Retrieved April 20, 2019, from https://www.trulia.com/real_estate/Centerville-Utah/
- Recreation Management. (2019). Parks & Recreation - A Look at Trends in Parks & Recreation. Retrieved April 19, 2019, from <http://recmanagement.com/feature/201806FE04>
- Rotundo, B. (2012). Mount Auburn: Fortunate coincidences and an ideal solution. *The Journal of Garden History*, 4(3), 255–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01445170.1984.10444098>
- Sturm, R., & Cohen, D. (2014). Proximity to Urban Parks and Mental Health. *The Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics*, 17(1), 19–24.
- The City of North Salt Lake Parks, Trails and Recreation Master Plan and Study. (2005).
- thehighline.org. (2019). Retrieved May 1, 2019, from The High Line website: <https://www.thehighline.org/>

References

Toth, R., E. (1974). A Planning and Design Methodology. Utah State University.

US Census Report. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/centervillecityutah>

Walker, J., Boyle, C., Barratt, C., Supervisor, P., Munger, T. J., Harrison, D., ... McCoy, L. (2015).
Lehi City Parks Master Plan Update. 65.

Waxman, S. (2019). History of Central Park, New York. Retrieved May 1, 2019, from
<https://www.ny.com/articles/centralpark.html>

Williams, T. (2014). In the Garden Cemetery: The Revival of America's First Urban Parks.

Retrieved April 9, 2019, from American Forests website:

<https://www.americanforests.org/magazine/article/in-the-garden-cemetery-the-revival-of-americas-first-urban-parks/>

Zannon, D., Curtis, J., Lockstone-Binney, L., & Hall, J. (2018). Examining future park recreation activities and barriers relative to societal trends: *Annals of Leisure Research*: Vol 0, No 0. *Annals of Leisure Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2018.1500923>



PRELIMINARY DRAFT

Minutes of the Centerville City Parks and Recreation Committee meeting held Tuesday, April 9, 2024 at 7:00 p.m. in the Centerville City Hall Conference Room, 250 North Main Street, Centerville, Utah.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Adam Alba, Chair
Aaron Getz
Sean Hall
Matt Layton, via cellphone

MEMBERS ABSENT

Lane Jenkins
Brooke Lewis
Tiffany Rees

STAFF PRESENT

Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Manager
Bryce King, Community Services Manager, arrived at 7:25 p.m.
Connie Larson, Recording Secretary

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Minutes of the March 12, 2024 meeting were reviewed. Sean Hall made a **motion** to approve the minutes as written. Adam Alba seconded the motion, which was passed by unanimous vote (4-0).

PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT DISCUSSION

Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Manager, displayed four catalogs from playground equipment companies. Sonntag Playground Equipment was the company used for the Island View Park. Garrett Recreation has the footprint of the playground area for the Community Park, and they would like to give a bid on the design they are purposing. The playground equipment and pickleball courts cannot be built until the National Parks Service Grant is received. The grant was to have been awarded last September, but it has not been awarded at this time. The grant is for \$150,000, and the city will provide \$150,000 for a total of \$300,000.

If the cost to install the playground equipment is over \$25,000, then it must be sent out to bid, and the city will be the general contractor. Play World has also installed three other playgrounds with Garrett Recreation, and they have been asked to submit a bid. Swings are popular at parks, as schools have removed swings because of liability issues. Most parks are geared towards five-to twelve-year-old children.

At 7:25 p.m., Bryce King, Community Services Manager, arrived at the meeting.

Sean Hall reported at the last meeting he asked his boys what playground equipment they would like at a park, and they both said a zipline. At least half of the playground area needs to be wheelchair accessible. Bruce Cox reported a donation in the amount of \$1,000 was received from Silver Eagle Oil Refinery for a toddlers swing set. Bryce King, Community Services Manager, suggested Committee members talk to their constituents on what amenities they would like to see at the Community Park. A survey could also be conducted on the city's Facebook page. Mr. King suggested Committee members visit the Gray Hawk Park in Layton to see the amenities at this park. Committee members were asked to make a list of amenities they would like to have at the park and bring them to the next meeting.

MOVIES IN THE PARK

Sean Hall will send a volunteer list for Committee members to sign-up to help at the Movies in the Park this summer. Bryce King, Community Services Manager, is continuing to research a new movie projector. Haley Turner is doing the Neighborhood Nights activity in July. This activity takes place at a park, and the citizens are allowed to ask city employees questions. She will be sending a sign-up list for Committee members to help with this activity.

PICKLEBALL COURTS

Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Director, reported there will be no shade structure, restrooms, or drinking fountain for the pickleball courts at this time. These amenities can be added at a later date. As soon as the grant is received, construction on the courts will begin. It is possible that 80 parking stalls will be added in the future.

NEXT MEETING

The next Parks and Recreation Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, May 14th, 2024 at 7:00 p.m. at City Hall.

ADJOURN

At 7:55 p.m., Adam Alba made a **motion** to adjourn the meeting. Sean Hall seconded the motion, which was passed by unanimous vote (4-0).

Jennifer Robison, City Recorder

Date Approved

Connie Larson, Recording Secretary