

1 Minutes of the Centerville City Council meeting held Tuesday, January 5, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. at
2 Centerville City Hall, 250 North Main Street, Centerville, Utah.

3
4 **MEMBERS PRESENT**

5
6 Mayor Paul A. Cutler
7
8 Council Members Tamilyn Fillmore
9 William Ince
10 Stephanie Ivie
11 George McEwan
12 Robyn Mecham

13
14 **STAFF PRESENT**

15 Steve Thacker, City Manager
16 Blaine Lutz, Finance Director/Assistant City Manager
17 Lisa Romney, City Attorney
18 Cory Snyder, Community Development Director
19 Jacob Smith, Management Assistant
20 Katie Rust, Recording Secretary

21 **VISITORS**

Interested citizens (see attached sign-in sheet)

22
23 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

24
25 **PRAYER OR THOUGHT** Mayor Cutler

26
27 **COLORING CONTEST WINNERS**

28
29 Mayor Cutler presented awards to winners of the Youth Council coloring contest who
30 were unable to attend the December 15, 2015 Council meeting.

31
32 **OPEN SESSION**

33
34 Dale McIntyre – Mr. McIntyre referenced a comment made during the open session on
35 December 3, 2013 regarding whether or not Centerville police officers take an oath to defend
36 the Constitution. Mr. McIntyre stated the White House Press Secretary has announced that
37 President Obama is preparing a series of executive orders to limit Second Amendment rights.
38 He asked, if the President does sign those executive orders, if the Centerville Police
39 Department would enforce those orders, or stand by their sworn duty to defend the Constitution.
40 Mayor Cutler responded that he has not received communication from the President regarding
41 directives to Centerville, and stated that the City will continue to follow the law as written.

42
43 Rick Bingham – Mr. Bingham thanked past members of the City Council for their service,
44 and thanked those who are new to the Council. He expressed appreciation for all those who
45 serve in the community.

46
47 Larry Wright – Former Councilman Wright expressed appreciation to the current Council
48 members for the hours of service they will perform in behalf of the community.

49
50 Kyle Green – Mr. Green expressed appreciation to past and new members of the City
51 Council. December 31st was the cutoff for the deer trapping season, and Mr. Green asked
52 about the results of the City's trapping efforts. Mayor Cutler reported that three deer were taken
53 before the end of the trapping season. In addition, multiple deer have been hit by automobiles
54 within the City in recent weeks. Mr. Thacker added that trapping is expected to resume in
55 August of this year.

MINUTES REVIEW AND ACCEPTANCE

The minutes of the December 15, 2015 Council meeting were reviewed. Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to approve the minutes. Councilman Ince seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

PUBLIC HEARING – LEGACY TRAILS SUBDIVISION – PLAT AMENDMENT

Cory Snyder, Community Development Director, explained a misunderstanding on Maverick's part regarding the size of Legacy Trails Subdivision Lot 1, and the subsequent request to amend the boundaries. The request involves a reduction in the width of the common access lane from 30 feet to 28 feet. Staff recommends approval of the proposed Amendment. Councilwoman Mecham asked if the common access lane will be used by emergency vehicles. Mr. Snyder responded that the lane will be a secondary access for emergency vehicles. The primary emergency access will be the roundabout on 1250 West. Emergency vehicles require a minimum width of 20 feet. Parking will not be allowed on the common access lane.

At 7:20 p.m. Mayor Cutler opened a public hearing.

Garth Heer – Mr. Heer asked if the error was on the original public documents, or was a mistake made when the maps were read. He also asked if the effect on emergency vehicles of reducing the common access lane by two feet has been examined.

Dale McIntyre – Mr. McIntyre asked if the property owners were involved in the discussions between Maverick and the City that resulted in the request to reduce the common access lane width.

Holly Ince – Ms. Ince expressed concern that the main emergency access for the development will be through the roundabout. She said she was present in a meeting when the Fire Department stated that the roundabout is a problem, and said she does not think it sounds like a good idea.

Mayor Cutler closed the public hearing at 7:22 p.m. Mr. Snyder stated that the misunderstanding occurred when Maverick anticipated the lot size incorrectly. A median will be installed on Parrish Lane in conjunction with the project, making left-hand turns off of Parrish Lane into the development impossible. Primary access into the development will be from the roundabout. Mr. Snyder said the Fire Department has approved construction of up to 90 residential units with the singular access, and he is not aware of the Fire Department having a problem with the roundabout. Construction of the remaining units cannot occur until the secondary access is added. The Maverick lot will also have a secondary access point.

Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to approve Subdivision Plat Amendment for the Legacy Trails Subdivision located at approximately 1250 West and Parrish Lane, subject to the recommended conditions and findings. Councilman Ince seconded the motion. Councilwoman Ivie stated she is still concerned about fire access. Mr. Snyder responded that Fire Department review generally takes place during the building permit process, but the Council could choose to condition approval of the Amendment based on Fire Department review and acceptance. He repeated that fire access lane width is a clear space of 20 feet. Councilwoman Ivie stated she would be more comfortable with Fire Department approval. Councilwoman Fillmore **amended her motion** to add a condition of review by the Fire Department. Councilman Ince seconded the amended motion.

1 Chad Salmon – As petitioner, Mr. Salmon stated that the Fire Department has been
2 involved continually and fully from the beginning, and has approved everything. The original
3 plan for the property included a single access at the roundabout. Since that time two secondary
4 access points have been added.

5
6 The amended motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

7
8 Conditions:

- 9
10 1) Preparation and submittal of a final linen subdivision plat to the City Recorder's
11 Office to reflect the changes to Lot 1 and the common access lane.
12 2) Submit and obtain written approval from the Owner's Association and record and
13 related changes as part of the recording of the amended plat.
14 3) All original subdivision plat notes are also indicated on the linen of the new plat to be
15 recorded, as deemed acceptable by the City Engineer and Attorney.
16 4) All corrections and revisions requested by the City Engineer and Attorney shall be
17 made to the final amended plat prior to recording.
18 5) Applicant must obtain Fire Department acceptance of reduction in common access
19 lane width prior to recording.
20

21 Findings:

- 22
23 a. The City Council finds that amendment is consistent with the original plat's
24 expectation to develop an integrated planned development, which included a
25 proposed gas and convenience store to be located on Lot 1.
26 b. The City Council finds that the Owner's Association has interest in the common
27 access lane, which the petitioners must obtain their sanction to the proposed
28 changes.
29 c. Therefore, with specific conditions of approval, the City Council finds that the public
30 interest will NOT be materially injured by the proposed plat amendment.
31 d. Therefore, the City Council also finds that there is good cause for the plat
32 amendment.
33

34 **PUBLIC HEARING – ZONE MAP AMENDMENT (REZONE) – HOLBROOK PROERTY**
35 **– 1851 NORTH MAIN STREET**

36
37 Mr. Snyder explained the request to change the City Zoning Map for a block of property
38 located at approximately 1851 North Main Street (actually located south of 1850 North Street).
39 The property owners desire to sell the undeveloped property, currently zoned Agricultural-Low
40 (A-L). A group of six neighboring property owners with lots on 1850 North adjacent to the north
41 side of the property have expressed a desire to purchase the undeveloped land to add to their
42 properties with boundary line adjustments, with the intent that the land remains undeveloped.
43 The properties to the north are zoned Residential-Low (R-L). Since no single piece of property
44 can have two zoning types, the applicant requests a rezone of the subject property from A-L to
45 R-L. Mr. Snyder pointed out that if the proposed outcome does not come to fruition,
46 development may occur. He added that the rezone request should be considered based on
47 whether or not it is consistent with the General Plan and the time is right for the change.
48

49 Two roads currently stub into the property (170 West and 1800 North), but do not
50 provide authorized access. If use of the stubs as access were to become a problem (i.e., mud
51 tracked onto City roads), the City could barricade access at those points. Mr. Snyder showed
52 on a map that undeveloped land adjacent to the property on the south (approximately 1.5 acres)
53 would remain A-L. He said it was his impression that the Planning Commission felt the

1 neighboring property owners becoming involved is beneficial. The General Plan provides for
2 the property to eventually become R-L, the question is when. Mr. Snyder said he is not aware
3 of any easements on the property in question at this time. Mayor Cutler asked what tools are
4 available to the Council to plan for future access to the property. Mr. Snyder responded that the
5 timing of the rezone is the tool available. Easements cannot be required as part of the rezone
6 process. Responding to a question from Councilwoman Mecham, Mr. Snyder confirmed that
7 parcels of property on the south side have been purchased to extend properties on 1750 North,
8 but the purchases were not approved or sanctioned by the City.

9
10 Gary Perkins, representing the applicant, provided historical background of the
11 undeveloped land, which has been actively farmed through the 2015 growing season. He
12 pointed out that it is impossible to know what will happen 20-30 years in the future, and he
13 believes it would be in the best interest of everyone to allow the neighboring homeowners to
14 purchase the property. It was explained that the property has access to water rights, which are
15 granted and allocated through Weber Basin. Councilman McEwan asked about the intended
16 size of the parcels to be purchased by the neighbors, and whether or not the group of neighbors
17 is unanimous in the desire to purchase the entire piece of undeveloped property. Mr. Perkins
18 responded that the new rear-lots would extend to the current south property line, and the group
19 of potential buyers is unanimous in wanting to purchase all of the property. He added that the
20 current property owners intend to sell the entire piece in one transaction.

21
22 At 7:58 p.m. Mayor Cutler opened a public hearing.

23
24 Blake Brogue – Mr. Brogue stated that he lives on 1750 North and owns the large open
25 space and narrow strip of land adjacent to the property in question on the south side. He
26 initially purchased the large open space and was promised access to his property through the
27 1800 North stub. When he later learned that the stub road would never be a public access, he
28 bought the narrow strip (40 feet wide) that leads from the stub end of 1800 North to his open
29 property, without realizing that an access problem would still exist. Mr. Brogue expressed his
30 concern that, if the proposed rezone is approved and the intended boundary adjustments take
31 place, options for future utilization of the undeveloped land would be restricted for everyone
32 involved. He suggested that everyone involved come together and find a way to give everyone
33 what they need for the greater good. Mr. Brogue said he does not think reducing the ways to
34 utilize the property is in the best interest of the community. He clarified that his main concern is
35 regarding access.

36
37 Kyle Green – Mr. Green said he does not have a vested interest in the proposed rezone.
38 He suggested the property owners find a way to add an additional 10 feet of land to the existing
39 40 foot strip that extends from 1800 North to preserve easement access for the future.

40
41 Matt Reynolds – Mr. Reynolds stated that he lives on 1850 North and represents the six
42 property owners proposing to purchase the property in question, with the desire to keep the
43 property undeveloped. He said the current property owners were clear that they will only be
44 involved in one sweeping transaction, and will not be involved in selling the property piece by
45 piece. Earnest money has been paid, and the transaction will move forward if the Council
46 approves the rezone. Mr. Reynolds said the buyers would prefer the property to remain A-L, but
47 they understand why a rezone is necessary. He said the buyers are aware the City has a right
48 to push snow up against the road stubs or otherwise block access. If necessary, the property
49 owners will access the rear lots through their existing properties. He stated that the buyers
50 intend to have a private easement, putting gravel down for a 12-15 foot joint access from 170
51 West, continuing along the rear of the properties. There is no intention to build along the
52 southern boundary, and easements would not be blocked.

1 Lamar Bangerter – Mr. Bangerter stated that he lives on 1750 North and owns some of
2 the extended property south of the property in question. He said he has loved having the
3 extended property, and he wants everyone on 1850 North to have the same opportunity to
4 extend their properties. An additional 10 feet would be needed to create a public right-of-way if
5 desired in the future. He suggested those involved on both sides pull together to preserve the
6 open space now, and keep options open for the future.

7
8 Holly Brogue – Ms. Brogue stated that she and her husband are not against the
9 proposed rezone. They have loved their extended backyard and are excited for their neighbors
10 on 1850 North to have the same opportunity. They are concerned that there needs to be a plan
11 for access in the future to avoid eliminating options. She proposed that the property owners on
12 both sides have more time to meet and discuss how to achieve what is desired.

13
14 Matt Reynolds – Mr. Reynolds said he does not think there is any disagreement among
15 the neighbors regarding how to make it happen in the future. He agreed that the neighbors
16 should meet together, but said he feels the easement issue is separate from the rezoning issue
17 and has nothing to do with the sellers. He said it would not be right to delay the rezone and
18 hold the sellers hostage while the easement issue is worked out between the neighbors.

19
20 Lee Skabelund – Mr. Skabelund commented that, with the emphasis on open space, the
21 fact that the City is in need of more cemetery space came to mind. He agreed that future
22 access needs to be planned for and provided.

23
24 Terry Blake – Mr. Blake said he lives on 1850 North and is a potential buyer. Regarding
25 access, he stated the six families came together and agreed to give 15 feet of their property to
26 each other. He said he does not see similar cooperation occurring in other locations, and he
27 does not understand why others would fight against it.

28
29 Blake Brogue – Mr. Brogue asked Mr. Snyder to clarify the impact of the proposed
30 rezone on the existing road stubs and access.

31
32 At 8:26 p.m. Mayor Cutler closed the public hearing. He explained that the Council may
33 seem hesitant because of a recent situation where property was rezoned from A-L to R-M with a
34 plan for an assisted living center presented, but then ended up being a development that was
35 not as favored by the neighbors. He said it is appropriate for the Council to ask questions and
36 be cautious in planning for the city 30 years down the road. Mayor Cutler said it is remarkable
37 that the neighbors have been able to come together to preserve something important to them.
38 Mr. Snyder stated that if the Council approves the rezone, the lot split transaction can take
39 place. There is no guarantee that the outcome that has been talked about will occur. He said
40 that trust is part of the issue, and in his experience he has seen many situations change. Mr.
41 Snyder pointed out that the remnant parcel would remain A-L, with animal rights attached. He
42 said the most likely mechanism for the remnant parcel to develop in the future would be as a
43 flag lot. The risk lies with the proposed buyers in that, if the lot split transaction does not take
44 place, the property would be eligible for R-L development.

45
46 Ms. Romney stated it is up to the property owners to decide if they want to dedicate a full
47 public right-of-way. City ordinances do not currently require a plat with a rezone request. The
48 Council needs to decide whether the proposed rezone is consistent with the goals, objectives,
49 and policies of the General Plan, and whether the proposed rezone is consistent with the overall
50 character of development in the vicinity. The Council is not able to ask how the property will
51 develop, or add development conditions to a rezone. As proposed, the land would be
52 assimilated into existing lots. Subdivision would have to occur for new building lots to be

1 created. Councilwoman Fillmore pointed out that in Centerville flag lots are the rare exception
2 and are not allowed on subdivided property.

3
4 Applicant Gary Perkins said it has historically been understood that right-of-way would
5 continue from 1800 North through the property. He suggested that agreement regarding future
6 right-of-way could be a condition of the sale of the property. Councilwoman Mecham asked if
7 two weeks would be enough time to work the right-of-way issue out. Mr. Perkins responded that
8 two weeks would be enough time. Councilwoman Fillmore agreed with the suggestion to table
9 the issue for two weeks. Referring to Finding #4 of the proposed ordinance, Councilwoman
10 Fillmore said she feels the lack of settlement on the possible future road issue qualifies as an
11 adverse effect for many of the property owners.

12
13 Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to table the issue to the next Council meeting to
14 allow the interested parties to discuss the easement issue and bring back the rezone request
15 unopposed. Councilman McEwan seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-
16 0).

17
18 **RATIFICATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2015-30 – CREATION OF CENTERVILLE**
19 **DEUEL CREEK HISTORIC DISTRICT – CHAPTER 12-49**

20
21 Councilwoman Mecham disclosed that she lives within the Historic District, but her home
22 would not qualify because it is less than 50 years old. She said she feels she can be objective.
23 At the last Council meeting the City Council approved the Historic District Text Amendment and
24 Zone Map Amendment, creating the Centerville Deuel Creek Historic District. City Attorney
25 Romney explained that, in the process of making changes requested by the Council, she added
26 a fourth tier regarding building permit fee reduction that states a zero percent fee reduction is
27 granted for bash-and-build situations. Councilman McEwan asked why the commercial aspect
28 was excluded from the ordinance. Councilwoman Ivie stated the Landmarks Commission
29 wanted commercial properties included. Councilwoman Fillmore explained it was recognized by
30 the previous Council that the cost impact to the city in the permitting process would be much
31 greater with commercial properties than with residential properties. She agreed with the staff
32 recommendation to ratify the ordinance as presented, and suggested the Council direct staff to
33 evaluate the cost impact of incentivizing the commercial component. As liaison to the
34 Landmarks Commission, Councilwoman Ivie commented that the Landmarks Commission
35 discussed the uniqueness of the historic commercial properties, but did not differentiate
36 between property types when drafting the incentives. Mr. Snyder added that at least one
37 commercial property on Main Street is already on the National Register, and there is interest in
38 putting the Randall service station on the Register.

39
40 Councilman McEwan said he feels the commercial component is important, and made a
41 **motion** to table the issue for discussion in a work session with the Landmarks Commission,
42 with direction to include commercial prior to ratifying Ordinance No. 2015-30. Councilwoman
43 Ivie seconded the motion. Ms. Romney pointed out that ratification of the ordinance was
44 noticed on the agenda. Councilman McEwan withdrew his previous motion, and made a
45 **motion** to ratify Ordinance No. 2015-30 with the four tiers. Councilwoman Fillmore seconded
46 the motion. Mayor Cutler requested the addition of the word "and" to a definition in the
47 Ordinance for clarification. Council members McEwan and Fillmore agreed to the addition, and
48 the motion passed by majority vote (4-1), with Councilman McEwan dissenting.

49
50 Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to reconsider the creation of the Centerville Deuel
51 Creek Historic District, Ordinance No. 2015-30, specifically the provision that excludes
52 commercial properties. Councilman McEwan seconded the motion, which passed by
53 unanimous vote (5-0). Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to return the matter to the

1 Landmarks Commission, directing staff to include a fiscal impact evaluation for granting
2 incentives to historic commercial properties. Councilman McEwan seconded the motion, and
3 requested the motion include direction to submit a recommendation for rate structure.
4 Councilwoman Fillmore agreed to the addition, and the motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

5
6 **RECONSIDER DIRECTIVE TO STAFF AND PLANNING COMMISSION REGARDING**
7 **POSSIBLE REZONE OF CENTERVILLE ELEMENTARY AREA PROPERTY FROM R-M TO**
8 **R-L**
9

10 Councilwoman Mecham disclosed that her property is within the subject area, and
11 recused herself from the discussion. Councilman Ince suggested the rezone of Centerville
12 Elementary area properties be taken off the Planning Commission agenda for the time being
13 because there are enough other issues that will be looked at over the next several months that
14 addressing the small piece does not warrant the time and effort. He expressed a desire to go
15 back and look at the codes overall. Councilman McEwan agreed, and stated he feels there are
16 other issues of higher priority for the Planning Commission to look at, specifically liability issues
17 with the Main Street Plan. He added that he feels the proposed rezone of Centerville
18 Elementary area properties is a distraction. Councilman Ince said a number of citizens have
19 complained to him that there are portions of the codes and ordinances that are unfair and do not
20 make sense. He said he feels they should be reviewed to make sure they make sense.

21
22 Councilwoman Fillmore agreed there is always room for improvement, but pointed out
23 that in reality, work gets done when things are brought to the Council's attention. Being
24 responsive to the concerns of citizens is important. She said that over the last few months,
25 much concern was expressed by citizens about the Main Street area and densities in south
26 Centerville, with emphasis on R-L zoning. To be logical and consistent, Councilwoman Fillmore
27 said it does not make sense to have higher density right behind the area where residents fought
28 for R-L. She expressed a desire to be consistent with principles and how they are applied.
29 Councilman McEwan responded stating that he hears what she is saying, but he thinks it is a
30 matter of what is a priority for the Planning Commission. He repeated that he is concerned
31 about liability issues with the recommended planter boxes and benches on Main Street.
32 Councilman Ince repeated that he does not want to remove the issue completely, but would not
33 give it a high priority at this time. Councilwoman Fillmore mentioned that the Council goal
34 setting session is coming up, which will provide an opportunity to prioritize what the Council
35 wants to accomplish. Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to eliminate the directive to staff to
36 spend time on the possible rezone of Centerville Elementary area property, with the intention to
37 discuss the issue with the Planning Commission at a goal setting work session. Councilwoman
38 Ivie seconded the motion, which passed by majority vote (3-1), with Councilwoman Fillmore
39 dissenting, and Councilwoman Mecham recused.

40
41 The Council took a break from 9:28 p.m. to 9:42 p.m.

42
43 **MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS**
44

- 45 a. A public hearing regarding the proposed Accessory Dwelling Units ordinance will be
46 held on January 19th.
47 b. Councilman McEwan explained his recommendation to video stream Council
48 meetings to increase government transparency. He expressed the opinion that, with
49 UTOPIA in the building, video streaming would be easy and inexpensive with one
50 fixed camera. Mayor Cutler commented that the City has limited in-house IT
51 resources. Councilman McEwan said he feels the burden is minimal relative to the
52 increase in transparency. City Manager Thacker mentioned that audio recordings of
53 the meeting are available online already. The Mayor stated that, with this item not

1 already in the budget, the Council will have to look at where the funding would come
2 from. Councilman McEwan stated his objective to be live by March. Mayor Cutler
3 said he is supportive, but stressed the need to manage the cost and put together a
4 process that is administratively simple. Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to
5 direct staff to provide three bids by the next Council meeting, with the intent that the
6 Council will make a decision and find the funding at the next Council meeting, with
7 the goal to be live by the first Council meeting in March. Councilman Ince seconded
8 the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

9 10 **MUNICIPAL CODE AMENDMENTS**

11
12 Ms. Romney explained the proposal to separate Chapters 7 and 12 from Title 9 and
13 create Title 17 regarding telecommunications systems, and Title 18 regarding cable systems.
14 Councilman Ince commented that the City tax on his cell phone bill does not make sense. Mr.
15 Thacker responded that the Legislature recognized the telephone tax as a significant source of
16 revenue for cities, and determined that cities could impose a telecommunications tax of no more
17 than 3.5%.

18
19 Councilwoman Mecham made a **motion** to approve Title 17, Ordinance No. 2016-02,
20 and Title 18, Ordinance No. 2016-03. Councilman Ince seconded the motion, which passed by
21 unanimous vote (5-0).

22 23 **REVIEW COUNCIL MEETING PROTOCOL AND OTHER GUIDELINES AFFECTING** 24 **COUNCIL MEMBERS**

25
26 Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to table the review of Council meeting protocol to
27 the next meeting. Councilman Ince seconded the motion. Following a brief discussion,
28 Councilman McEwan **amended his motion** to table the review without bringing it back.
29 Councilman Ince agreed to the amendment, and the motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
30 Councilwomen Fillmore and Ivie expressed a desire to vary the Council seating arrangement.

31 32 **WORK SESSION TO DISCUSS CITY FINANCES**

33
34 The work session regarding City finances was postponed.

35 36 **MAYOR'S REPORT**

- 37
38 • Creation of the South Davis Metro Fire District has been officially approved by the
39 Lieutenant Governor. Councilwoman Ivie commented that she has noticed two fire
40 trucks and an ambulance dispatched for the same incident on more than one
41 occasion, and asked why two trucks would be necessary. Mayor Cutler responded
42 that regulations are involved. Staffing at each station and the individual needs of
43 each incident are also factors. Councilman McEwan added that a lot of Federal
44 regulation is involved. Mr. Thacker commented that emergency response staffing
45 has been an ongoing challenge that has improved with the recently implemented
46 mobile unit.

47 48 **COUNCIL MEMBER ASSIGNMENTS AND APPOINTMENTS**

- 49
50 • Mayor Cutler will continue to serve on the Fire District Board. Councilwoman
51 Mecham agreed to serve as the City's alternate representative. These appointments
52 will be made via a resolution at the next Council meeting.

- 1 • Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to appoint Councilwoman Fillmore as Mayor Pro
2 Tem. The motion was seconded the Councilman Ince and passed by unanimous
3 vote (5-0).
- 4 • Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to approve Resolution No. 2016-02 designating
5 Councilman McEwan as the City's representative with the Mosquito Abatement
6 District. Councilman Ince seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote
7 (5-0).
- 8 • Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to approve Resolution No. 2016-01, reappointing
9 Councilwoman Fillmore to the South Davis Recreation Control Board. Councilman
10 McEwan seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
- 11 • Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to accept the following names and
12 appointments. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which passed by
13 unanimous vote (5-0).
 - 14 ○ Councilman Ince will serve as Council liaison to the Chamber of Commerce
15 and the Citizen Corps Council.
 - 16 ○ Councilman McEwan will serve as the City's representative on the UTOPIA
17 Board. Mayor Cutler will continue on the UIA Board.
 - 18 ○ Councilwoman Ivie will serve as Council liaison to the Whitaker Museum
19 Board, and will continue as liaison to the Landmarks Commission.
 - 20 ○ Councilwoman Mecham will serve as Council liaison to the Trails Committee
21 and the Davis County COG Transportation Committee.
 - 22 ○ Councilwoman Fillmore will continue as liaison to the Parks and Recreation
23 Committee.
- 24 • Mayor Cutler recommended former Councilman John Higginson continue as the
25 City's representative with the Solid Waste District.
- 26 • Councilwoman Mecham made a **motion** to approve Resolution No. 2016-03
27 appointing Councilwoman Fillmore to represent the City Council on the Davis
28 Performing Arts Center Administrative Control Board. Councilman Ince seconded
29 the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
- 30 • Mayor Cutler recommended the Council reappoint Jack Dellastatious as Chair of the
31 Centerville Community Foundation Board, reappoint David Gutke to another two year
32 term on the Community Foundation Board, and appoint Gary Goff to a two year term
33 on the Community Foundation Board. Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to
34 reappoint Jack Dellastatious as Chair, reappoint David Gutke, and appoint Gary Goff
35 to the Centerville Community Foundation Board. Councilman Ince seconded the
36 motion, and also expressed a desire to serve on the Community Foundation Board.
37 Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to **amend** her motion, adding the
38 appointment of Councilman Ince to the Community Foundation Board.
39 Councilwoman Ivie seconded her motion to amend, which passed by unanimous
40 vote (5-0). The amended motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
- 41 • Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to reappoint Jason Hunter to a five-year term
42 on the Board of Adjustments. Councilwoman Fillmore seconded the motion, which
43 passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
- 44 • Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to reappoint Brad Porter to a three-year term on
45 the Landmarks Commission, which was seconded by Councilman McEwan and
46 passed by unanimous vote (5-0).
- 47 • Councilwoman Fillmore and Mayor Cutler reported on tragic deaths that occurred
48 within the community in the last few days.

49
50 **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**
51

- 52 • Local Officials Day at the Legislature will be January 27, 2016.


- The Property Rights Ombudsman of Utah will provide land use training to the Planning Commission and City Council on February 10th prior to the regular Planning Commission meeting.
- The Council will meet in a work session with State Legislators on January 19th at 5:30 p.m. prior to regular Council meeting.
- City Manager Thacker updated the Council regarding the pedestrian bridge fencing matter. Staff expects to present a bid to the Council at the first meeting in February.

ADJOURNMENT

At 10:50 p.m. Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to adjourn the City Council meeting and move to a closed meeting to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).


Marsha L. Morrow, City Recorder

1-19-2016
Date Approved


Katie Rust, Recording Secretary



CENTERVILLE CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday, January 5, 20 16
7:00 p.m.

92

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)

ADDRESS**

NEIL LISH & CHERIE

478 E. 1200 N.

Dan McIntyre

258 E. 200 S.

John Wilson

216 N. 100 E

Jayce T. Wiese

1776 P. B. N.E.

PAUL GRAPINSKI

714 E. 600 S.

Blaine Ruskelly

93 N. 16. F

DOROTHY B. CALL

86 N. 300 E

Dennis Knoles

1248 N. NOLA

Roger Barron

322 E. 500 N.

ROYAL & LUANNE BEWLER

1756 NO. MAIN

Lee Skabelund

466 E. 200 S.

STEVE & TENA ALLEN

133 E ROCK HARBOR DR

Carolyn Nubley Subhan

5230 Mountain View Rd.

Lamar Hansen

83 Canyon Breeze Dr.

Lisa Sommer

2212 No. Main Cent.

Garth Heer

77 E 1975 N

Bryan Willey

1054 N. 400 E.

Brian Coombs

526 OLD FARM CIRCLE

Rick Bingham

290 E. Casa Linda Dr.

Linda H. Wright

3770 Summer Ridge Rd, Morgan

Beal J. Hallbach

3102 SOUTH 1000 E Kamas

Gary Perkins & Holly

572 E 650 N Cent

** Your address will be used only in the event the City staff needs to contact you pertaining to an issue discussed in the City Council meeting.

②

7:00 p.m.

ADDRESS**

1148N, 300 E

1145N 200 E

11460 300 E

86 W. 1750 N.

1452 Cherry Ln

** Your address will be used only in the event the City staff needs to contact you pertaining to an issue discussed in the City Council meeting.